



ISSN 2320-3862
JMPS 2016; 4(4): 11-17
© 2016 JMPS
Received: 05-04-2016
Accepted: 03-05-2016

S. Padmapriya
Directorate of Planning and
Monitoring, TNAU, Coimbatore,
Tamil Nadu, India

K. Rajamani
Department of Medicinal and
Aromatic Crops, HC&RI,
TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil
Nadu, India

Standardization of post-harvest technology for *Gymnema sylvestre* and *Plectranthus forskohlii* (Wild) Briq (Syn: *Coleus forskohlii*)

S. Padmapriya, K. Rajamani

Abstract

Experiments were conducted at Medicinal Plants Unit, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore to standardize the drying and storage technology for gymnema and medicinal coleus. The leaves of *Gymnema* were subjected to different drying treatments viz., sun drying, shade drying, initial sun drying and subsequent shade drying and shade drying followed by sun drying. Likewise, the harvested tubers of *Coleus* were cut into slice of different thickness (0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 cm) and dried in two methods viz., sun drying and mechanical drying. Observations were recorded on drying time, drying rate and alkaloid contents in both the crops. In gymnema, drying under open sun light took the lowest time for drying i.e., 14 hrs/300g, followed by, shade drying followed by sun drying (20 hrs) and sun drying followed by shade drying (28 hrs). While drying the *Gymnema* leaves under shade required longer time (32 hrs) than other methods. The highest drying rate was observed in sun drying (0.276g/min) method. The highest gymnemic acid content (344.25 mg 100g⁻¹) was recorded in shade dried leaves. In coleus, sun drying of 0.5 cm slice thickness registered 18 hrs for attaining 8% moisture level. While in mechanical drying method, drying at 60°C of 0.5cm slice tubers took the lowest time for drying i.e. 360 min/100g with a drying rate of 0.370 g/min. The drying rate was found to be the lowest in sun drying of 2cm slice with a value of 0.065 g/min. The highest forskolin content (0.68%) was recorded in mechanical drying of 0.5 cm slice thickness at 40 °C. Storage studies indicated that irrespective of the crop under study, the dried sample packed in polyethylene lined gunny bag retained the highest alkaloid content and the rapid deterioration was recorded when stored under ambient conditions.

Keywords: *Gymnema*, medicinal coleus, drying & storage, gymnemic acid, forskolin

Introduction

Complementary and alternative medicine are gradually being integrated into comprehensive treatment plans alongside orthodox methods of diagnosis and treatment. The industrial demand on medicinal plant resources is poised to increase owing to the worldwide buoyancy in the herbal sector engaged in production of herbal health care formulations; herbal based cosmetic products and herbal nutritional supplements. In India, nearly 9,500 registered herbal industries and a multitude of unregistered cottage-level herbal units depend upon the continuous supply of medicinal plants for manufacture of herbal medical formulations based on Indian Systems of Medicine. It is estimated that more than 6,000 higher plant species forming about 40% of the higher plant diversity of the country are used in its codified and folk healthcare traditions (Ved and Goraya, 2007) [24].

The growing demand for medicinal species indicates the emergence of a market with high potential for consumption, requiring a consistent and readily available supply of high quality raw material. The post-harvesting process of medicinal plants has great importance in the production chain, because of its direct influence on the quality and quantity of the active ingredients in the product sold. The industrial growth of medicinal plants is curtailed by a distinct lack of understanding of the specific post-harvest handling and packaging needs of the broad range of species and varieties. Freshly harvested medicinal plants occupy large volumes and pose difficulty in transportation and storage. For handling and storage purposes, reducing the water content of freshly harvested medicinal plants is imperative. By reducing the water content, the material becomes easier to handle and less prone to microbial degradation. The water content is usually removed through thermal drying. The methods available for drying medicinal plants can be grouped into natural and mechanical drying on the basis of heat source or energy utilization (Cai *et al.*, 2004) [2]. Recent surveys indicate that poor post-harvest

Correspondence
S. Padmapriya
Directorate of Planning and
Monitoring, TNAU, Coimbatore,
Tamil Nadu, India.

handling and a lack of knowledge on suitable packaging systems for herbs as the major factors contributing to wastage, poor quality and limited market opportunities. Under these circumstances, it is appropriate to standardize the post-harvest drying and storage practices for economically important medicinal plants like gymnema and medicinal coleus which contribute to the growth and sustenance of herbal industries substantially.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted at the Medicinal Plants Unit, Horticultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Coimbatore. The harvested gymnema leaves were subjected to methods of drying procedures viz., sun drying, shade drying, initial sun drying for 3 hours followed by subsequent shade drying and shade drying for 24hrs followed by sun drying. Sun drying was carried out under open sun from 10 A.M. to 5 P.M with frequent turning of the sample. During this period, the plant samples were weighed at one hour interval. After the attainment of constant weight, the process of sun drying was completed. Likewise, shade drying was carried out under room (ambient) temperature with frequent turning of the sample. During this period of time, at every one hour interval, the plant samples were weighed and expressed in grams. In coleus, the harvested tubers were cut into slices of different thickness (0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 cm) as per the requirement for the specific treatment and dried in two methods viz., i) sun drying and ii) mechanical drying. In sun drying method, the tuber pieces were spread uniformly in the aluminum trays and kept open to the sun from 8.30 am to 4.30 pm. The temperature during sun drying ranged from 29 to 34 °C and in the night hours, samples were kept in plastic covers to prevent re-absorption of moisture. Whereas in mechanical drying, the tuber pieces were spread uniformly in the hot air oven at temperatures 40 °C, 50 °C and 60 °C respectively. The samples were weighed at regular intervals and drying was terminated, when the moisture content of the samples attained 8 to 10 per cent. Observations were recorded on drying time, drying rate and alkaloid contents in both the crops. Drying rate was found for all the treatments using the method described by Narasimhan and Johnson (1972) ^[11].

The quality attributes, total gymnemic acid (*Gymnema sylvestre*) was estimated as per the methods suggested by Rajpal (2002) ^[19]. About 3.00 gm of the leaf extract was weighed into a beaker. 10% of hydrochloric acid was added to the filtrate to make the pH 1.5. Later the filtrate was distilled with water and the precipitate dissolved in 20ml of 80.0% v/v ethanol or methanol. Filtrate was dried at 70 °C to a constant weight and percentage of total gymnemic acid was calculated. Forskolin present in the tubers of *Plectranthus forskohlii* was determined by High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography (HPTLC). Standard forskolin and other samples were spotted on pre-coated silica gel plates as narrow bands of 4 mm width at a constant rate of 8 µl sec⁻¹ using a Camag Linomat IV model applicator under nitrogen atmosphere. A mixture of benzene and ethyl acetate (85:15 v/v) was used as the mobile phase. The length of the chromatogram of 90 mm and 15 min was required for each run. The plates were sprayed with anisaldehyde sulphuric acid reagent (0.5 ml anisaldehyde + 1 ml H₂SO₄ + 50 ml acetic acid) and heated at 110 °C for 5 min. Orange fluorescence observed at 366 nm was optimally detected and quantified at 315 nm using the Camag TLC scanner with CATS 3.17 software for quantification of the separated compounds in the chromatogram (Malathy and Pai, 1999) ^[10].

In storage experiment, the shelf life extension with enhanced alkaloid contents were determined using different storage containers viz., jute gunny bags, polythene lined gunny bags, ventilated polythene lined gunny bags and storage at ambient conditions. The statistical scrutiny of data was done by adopting the standard procedures of Panse and Sukhatme (1985) ^[13]. The critical difference was worked out for 5 per cent level of significance.

Results and discussion

1. Drying experiments

A. Drying time

In *Gymnema*, the total time required for attainment of constant dry weight of the samples (300 g) was calculated. The results revealed that drying under open sun light recorded the lowest time of drying i.e., 14 hrs/300g. While drying the leaves under shade required longer time (32 hrs) than other methods (Table 1). In general, sun drying leads to contamination with foreign inert materials and wide exposure to environmental factors, which ultimately result in unhygienic product quality favouring microbial contamination. Compared to sun drying, the retention of green colour of the leaves, which is necessary for fetching premium prices in the market, was found to be high in the sample dried under ambient temperature (Shade drying). The poor colour of the sun dried samples was due to thermal degradation of chlorophyll pigment under high temperatures. Similar results were reported by Subha Vasugi (2007) ^[22] in *Cassia angustifolia* and Yuvaraj (2007) ^[26] in *Wedelia chinensis* respectively.

In *Plectranthus forskohlii*, sun drying of 0.5cm slice thickness recorded the lowest (18 hrs) time for drying (Table 3). While in the mechanical drying method, drying at 60 °C of 0.5cm slice thickness took lowest time for drying i.e. 360 min/100g (Table 4). The results indicated that high temperature (60 °C) increased the rate of moisture removal per unit time and reduced the overall drying time when compared to drying under ambient conditions. Similar results were reported by Rajangam (2006) ^[17] in *Plectranthus forskohlii*.

B. Drying rate

The rate of drying was significantly influenced by different methods of drying. In *Gymnema*, maximum drying rate was observed in sun drying which recorded the value of 0.276g/min. This was followed by sun drying followed shade drying which recorded 0.236g/min. With respect to sun drying, the rate of drying was greater during initial stages, and it was drastically reduced later, when the moisture level approached the equilibrium (Table 1). This is in accordance with the results of Gupta and Pareek (1993) ^[6], in horticultural crops. The drying rate was found to be high in the afternoon hours due to higher solar intensity. The drying rate was found to be the lowest in shade drying with a value of 0.148 g/min. It could be reasoned that in shade drying, the highest final moisture content was exhibited, due to the less temperature which is not enough to remove the moisture. This finding is in accordance with the observations of Fadel and EL Massry (2000) ^[5], in rosemary and Cesare *et al.*, (2001) ^[3], in *Ocimum* and sage.

In medicinal coleus, the highest drying rate (0.370 g/min) was observed in mechanical drying at 60 °C with 0.5cm slice thickness when compared to the tubers dried at 40° and 50°C (Table 4). The results indicated that high temperature (60 °C) increased the rate of moisture removal per unit time and reduced the overall drying time. This finding is in accordance with Ranganath and Dubash (1981) ^[20], in potato, Yoshikawa

et al., (1994) ^[25]. in *Alismatis*, and Liu Zhi Jun *et al.* (1998) ^[9]. in *Camptotheca*, who reported similar observations with regard to influence of temperature on drying. The drying rate was found to be the lowest in sun drying of 2cm slice with a value of 0.065 g/min. This might be due to the fact that increase in surface area reduces the rate of moisture removal per unit time. The internal resistance to moisture transport is much less than the external resistance to water vapour removal from the product surface (Balakrishnan, 2001) ^[1]. Hence, the drying rate was lower in 2cm slices when compared to the samples with slice thickness of 0.5 and 1.0 cm. The earlier findings of Nema (2004) ^[12]. in carrot, Hansen *et al.*, (1999) ^[7]. in *taxus* and Pauer *et al.*, (1988) ^[14]. in onion substantiate the present results.

C. Alkaloid content

Medicinal plants, in general, warrants cautious drying methods at optimum temperatures as a precaution to minimize the loss of heat sensitive constituents as reported by Tesic *et al.*, (1998) ^[23]. The alkaloid content viz., gymnemic acid was significantly influenced by different methods of drying. The highest content (344.25 mg 100g⁻¹) was recorded in shade drying (Table 2). To fetch higher price and improve the quality of the produce, the dried leaves should possess certain desirable traits such as high recovery percent, retention of green color and high alkaloid content. The present findings is in consonance with the results obtained by Yuvaraj (2006) in *Wedelia* with highest phenolics, tannin and wedelolactone contents in the shade dried sample under ambient temperature. In another experiment with senna, shade drying was the best in retaining the leaf colour with maximum sennoside content as compared to mechanical drying methods (Subha Vasugi, 2006).

In *Plectranthus forskholii*, the alkaloid content viz., forskolin was significantly influenced by different methods of drying. The product with high forskolin content was considered to be the best as it depends on the drying temperature, slice thickness and proper storage condition. The highest content (0.68%) was recorded in mechanical drying of 0.5 cm slice thickness at 40 °C as compared drying at temperatures 50 °C

and 60 °C respectively (Table 5). This might be due to thermal degradation of stored compounds resulting in lower recovery of biochemical constituents. The results are substantiated with the observations made by Sablani *et al.*, (2003) ^[21]. in potato and Rajnish Banga and Bawa (2002) ^[18]. in carrot and Rajangam (2006) ^[17]. in medicinal coleus.

1. Standardization of storage containers

Proper packaging and storage play a vital role in deciding the keeping quality of any raw material. Even it is well dried, improper package and storage may pave way to moisture ingress, which in turn catalyze spoilage and quality deterioration. The results of storage studies revealed that irrespective of the crop under study, the dried sample packed in polyethylene lined gunny bag (T2) retained the alkaloid upto 4 months with 438.10 mg 100g⁻¹ of gymnemic acid and 0.52% forskolin contents respectively while rapid deterioration was recorded the storage under ambient conditions (Table 6). This is in accordance with the results of Joy *et al.*, (2003) ^[8] in *kaempferia* and Rajangam (2005) ^[16] in *coleus*. Polythene lined gunny bags would have helped to retain the chemical constituents better than other treatments by preventing microbial contamination. This is in agreement with the findings of Elsohly *et al.*, (1994) ^[4] in *Taxus* and Pruthi (2001) ^[15] in *sage*, who observed that dried materials stored at room temperature in air tight closed package retained the quality without much change in chemical constituents.

From the above experiment, it could be concluded that method of drying and storage decides upon the ultimate appearance, marketability and extended retention of alkaloids of medicinal plants. *Gymnema* can be dried under shade without thermal degradation, retaining the green colour of the leaves. Likewise mechanical drying of medicinal *coleus* under 40 °C was optimal for attaining the appropriate moisture and preservation of forskolin content. Polythene lined gunny bags can be used for storage of medicinal plants with extended shelf life in terms of retention of alkaloids and prevention of microbial contamination.

Table 1: Effect of different drying methods on drying time and drying rate of *Gymnema sylvestre*

| Drying Time (hrs) | Fully under sun drying | | Shade drying | | Sun drying followed by shade drying | | Shade drying followed by sun drying | |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Weight (g) | Drying rate (g/min) | Weight (g) | Drying rate (g/min) | Weight (g) | Drying rate (g/min) | Weight (g) | Drying rate (g/min) |
| 0 | 100.00 | 0 | 100.00 | 0 | 300.00 | 0 | 300.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 80.50 | 0.67 | 92.40 | 0.43 | 259.90 | 0.66 | 262.90 | 0.66 |
| 2 | 71.50 | 0.63 | 87.60 | 0.41 | 221.60 | 0.63 | 245.60 | 0.63 |
| 3 | 64.70 | 0.56 | 84.30 | 0.40 | 188.20 | 0.55 | 210.20 | 0.55 |
| 4 | 55.20 | 0.51 | 81.50 | 0.38 | 157.30 | 0.51 | 192.30 | 0.51 |
| 5 | 50.40 | 0.48 | 80.90 | 0.37 | 127.30 | 0.50 | 154.30 | 0.50 |
| 6 | 43.70 | 0.41 | 78.40 | 0.35 | 102.80 | 0.40 | 132.80 | 0.40 |
| 7 | 38.90 | 0.34 | 76.20 | 0.33 | 82.30 | 0.34 | 100.73 | 0.34 |
| 8 | 32.50 | 0.16 | 75.20 | 0.32 | 79.20 | 0.05 | 85.20 | 0.25 |
| 9 | 29.80 | 0.13 | 73.10 | 0.30 | 76.20 | 0.05 | 80.20 | 0.25 |
| 10 | 27.40 | 0.11 | 70.80 | 0.29 | 73.40 | 0.05 | 78.40 | 0.20 |
| 11 | 26.50 | 0.10 | 68.50 | 0.27 | 70.70 | 0.05 | 75.70 | 0.15 |
| 12 | 25.10 | 0.03 | 65.20 | 0.25 | 68.00 | 0.04 | 72.00 | 0.14 |
| 13 | 24.35 | 0.01 | 61.80 | 0.23 | 65.50 | 0.04 | 68.50 | 0.10 |
| 14 | 24.30 | 0.00 | 59.60 | 0.22 | 63.00 | 0.04 | 65.00 | 0.10 |
| 15 | | | 56.80 | 0.21 | 60.50 | 0.04 | 60.50 | 0.08 |
| 16 | | | 54.70 | 0.20 | 58.30 | 0.04 | 58.30 | 0.05 |
| 17 | | | 51.80 | 0.20 | 56.20 | 0.04 | 54.20 | 0.02 |
| 18 | | | 50.20 | 0.18 | 54.10 | 0.04 | 48.10 | 0.02 |
| 19 | | | 48.50 | 0.17 | 52.00 | 0.03 | 32.00 | 0.01 |
| 20 | | | 46.90 | 0.15 | 50.00 | 0.03 | 27.48 | 0.00 |
| 21 | | | 44.40 | 0.12 | 48.20 | 0.03 | | |
| 22 | | | 42.80 | 0.10 | 46.70 | 0.03 | | |
| 23 | | | 39.70 | 0.08 | 45.70 | 0.03 | | |
| 24 | | | 35.80 | 0.06 | 45.70 | 0.02 | | |
| 25 | | | 32.40 | 0.06 | 32.40 | 0.02 | | |
| 26 | | | 29.00 | 0.05 | 30.10 | 0.02 | | |
| 27 | | | 27.80 | 0.04 | 28.56 | 0.01 | | |
| 28 | | | 27.00 | 0.03 | 26.40 | 0.00 | | |
| 29 | | | 26.40 | 0.02 | | | | |
| 30 | | | 25.90 | 0.02 | | | | |
| 31 | | | 25.40 | 0.01 | | | | |
| 32 | | | 25.40 | 0.00 | | | | |

Table 2: Effect of drying methods on gymnemic acid content of *Gymnema sylvestre*

| Drying method | Duration of drying (hrs) | Drying rate (g/min) | Gymnemic acid (mg 100g ⁻¹ DW) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Sun drying | 14 | 0.276 | 310.46 |
| Shade drying | 32 | 0.148 | 344.25 |
| Sun drying followed shade drying | 28 | 0.236 | 307.95 |
| Shade drying followed sun drying | 20 | 0.189 | 312.40 |
| SE (d) | 0.865 | 0.002 | 1.414 |
| CD (at 5 %) | 1.752 | 0.004 | 2.589 |

Table 3: Effect of sun drying on drying time and drying rate of *Plectranthus forskohlii*

| Drying Time (hrs) | Slice thickness of 0.5cm | | Slice thickness of 1cm | | Slice thickness of 2 cm | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ |
| 0 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 80.00 | 0.167 | 85.00 | 0.125 | 86.00 | 0.117 |
| 4 | 67.00 | 0.138 | 73.00 | 0.113 | 74.00 | 0.108 |
| 6 | 56.00 | 0.122 | 65.00 | 0.100 | 64.50 | 0.097 |
| 8 | 48.50 | 0.107 | 58.00 | 0.094 | 56.50 | 0.091 |
| 10 | 41.00 | 0.100 | 52.00 | 0.088 | 50.00 | 0.083 |
| 12 | 36.00 | 0.092 | 47.50 | 0.082 | 44.00 | 0.078 |
| 14 | 31.00 | 0.082 | 43.50 | 0.079 | 39.00 | 0.073 |
| 16 | 26.00 | 0.071 | 39.50 | 0.072 | 35.00 | 0.068 |
| 18 | 23.00 | 0.037 | 36.00 | 0.069 | 32.00 | 0.063 |
| 20 | | | 32.50 | 0.063 | 29.50 | 0.059 |
| 22 | | | 29.50 | 0.059 | 27.50 | 0.054 |
| 24 | | | 27.00 | 0.047 | 26.00 | 0.051 |
| 27 | | | 25.00 | 0.035 | 24.80 | 0.046 |
| 30 | | | | | 23.80 | 0.042 |
| 33 | | | | | 22.80 | 0.039 |
| 36 | | | | | 22.00 | 0.036 |

Table 4: Effect of mechanical drying on drying time and drying rate of *Plectranthus forskohlii*

| Drying Time (min) | Slice thickness of 0.5cm | | | | | | Slice thickness of 1cm | | | | | | Slice thickness of 2 cm | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| | 40 °C | | 50 °C | | 60 °C | | 40 °C | | 50 °C | | 60 °C | | 40 °C | | 50 °C | | 60 °C | |
| | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ | Weight (g) | Drying rate g min ⁻¹ |
| 0 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 |
| 30 | 88.00 | 0.400 | 84.00 | 0.533 | 79.50 | 0.683 | 89.00 | 0.367 | 87.00 | 0.433 | 82.00 | 0.600 | 89.50 | 0.350 | 87.50 | 0.417 | 88.00 | 0.400 |
| 60 | 78.00 | 0.366 | 72.00 | 0.467 | 63.00 | 0.617 | 78.50 | 0.358 | 76.00 | 0.400 | 67.50 | 0.542 | 79.00 | 0.350 | 76.00 | 0.400 | 77.50 | 0.375 |
| 90 | 67.50 | 0.361 | 60.00 | 0.444 | 50.00 | 0.556 | 70.00 | 0.333 | 65.50 | 0.383 | 54.50 | 0.506 | 70.00 | 0.332 | 65.50 | 0.383 | 69.00 | 0.354 |
| 120 | 58.50 | 0.345 | 50.00 | 0.417 | 39.50 | 0.504 | 61.00 | 0.325 | 56.00 | 0.367 | 44.00 | 0.467 | 62.50 | 0.313 | 57.50 | 0.354 | 60.50 | 0.329 |
| 150 | 50.50 | 0.330 | 42.00 | 0.367 | 32.50 | 0.450 | 53.50 | 0.310 | 46.50 | 0.357 | 35.50 | 0.420 | 55.00 | 0.300 | 51.50 | 0.336 | 53.00 | 0.303 |
| 180 | 43.00 | 0.316 | 35.50 | 0.358 | 29.00 | 0.394 | 46.00 | 0.300 | 39.50 | 0.336 | 30.50 | 0.386 | 50.00 | 0.278 | 46.50 | 0.318 | 46.50 | 0.276 |
| 210 | 36.00 | 0.304 | 31.00 | 0.329 | 27.50 | 0.345 | 40.00 | 0.286 | 35.50 | 0.307 | 28.50 | 0.340 | 44.50 | 0.264 | 41.50 | 0.294 | 41.00 | 0.258 |
| 240 | 31.00 | 0.287 | 28.50 | 0.298 | 26.70 | 0.305 | 35.00 | 0.271 | 31.50 | 0.285 | 27.00 | 0.304 | 40.50 | 0.248 | 37.00 | 0.271 | 35.00 | 0.240 |
| 270 | 27.00 | 0.270 | 27.00 | 0.270 | 25.90 | 0.274 | 31.00 | 0.256 | 28.50 | 0.265 | 26.10 | 0.274 | 36.50 | 0.235 | 32.00 | 0.252 | 31.00 | 0.222 |
| 300 | 24.60 | 0.251 | 26.00 | 0.247 | 25.50 | 0.238 | 28.00 | 0.240 | 27.00 | 0.243 | 25.50 | 0.248 | 33.50 | 0.212 | 29.50 | 0.235 | 27.50 | 0.206 |
| 330 | 23.60 | 0.231 | 25.20 | 0.226 | 25.20 | 0.217 | 26.30 | 0.223 | 26.20 | 0.224 | 25.10 | 0.227 | 31.00 | 0.209 | 27.50 | 0.220 | 25.50 | 0.184 |
| 360 | 22.80 | 0.214 | 24.70 | 0.209 | 24.90 | 0.200 | 24.70 | 0.209 | 25.60 | 0.207 | 24.80 | 0.209 | 29.00 | 0.197 | 26.30 | 0.205 | 25.00 | 0.173 |
| 390 | 22.20 | 0.199 | 24.40 | 0.194 | | | 24.00 | 0.195 | 25.20 | 0.192 | 24.60 | 0.193 | 27.50 | 0.186 | 25.50 | 0.191 | 24.50 | 0.155 |
| 420 | 22.00 | 0.185 | | | | | 23.60 | 0.182 | 24.90 | 0.179 | 24.30 | 0.180 | 26.00 | 0.176 | 24.50 | 0.180 | 23.50 | 0.137 |
| 450 | 21.90 | 0.173 | | | | | 23.40 | 0.170 | 24.70 | 0.167 | | | 25.00 | 0.167 | 23.80 | 0.169 | 22.80 | 0.150 |
| 450 | | | | | | | 23.20 | 0.160 | 24.60 | 0.157 | | | 24.20 | 0.158 | 23.10 | 0.160 | 22.40 | 0.135 |
| 480 | | | | | | | 23.10 | 0.151 | | | | | 23.70 | 0.150 | 22.60 | 0.152 | | |
| 510 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 23.40 | 0.142 | 22.30 | 0.144 | | |
| 540 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 23.10 | 0.135 | 22.00 | 0.137 | | |
| 570 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 23.10 | 0.129 | | | | |

Table 5: Drying characteristics on forskolin content in *Plectranthus forskohlii*

| Drying method | Duration of drying | Drying rate (g/min) | Forskolin (%) |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Sun drying of 0.5 cm slice thickness | 18 hrs | 0.092 | 0.48 |
| Sun drying of 1 cm slice thickness | 27 hrs | 0.073 | 0.45 |
| Sun drying of 2 cm slice thickness | 36 hrs | 0.065 | 0.42 |
| Mechanical drying of 0.5 cm slice thickness at 40°C | 450 min | 0.265 | 0.68 |
| Mechanical drying of 0.5 cm slice thickness at 50°C | 390 min | 0.311 | 0.62 |
| Mechanical drying of 0.5 cm slice thickness at 60°C | 360 min | 0.370 | 0.58 |
| Mechanical drying of 1.0 cm slice thickness at 40°C | 510 min | 0.241 | 0.65 |
| Mechanical drying of 1.0 cm slice thickness at 50°C | 480 min | 0.265 | 0.64 |
| Mechanical drying of 1.0 cm slice thickness at 60°C | 420 min | 0.326 | 0.56 |
| Mechanical drying of 2.0 cm slice thickness at 40°C | 600 min | 0.216 | 0.60 |
| Mechanical drying of 2.0 cm slice thickness at 50°C | 570 min | 0.241 | 0.59 |
| Mechanical drying of 2.0 cm slice thickness at 60°C | 480 min | 0.229 | 0.52 |

Table 6: Effect of storage methods on alkaloid content of gymnema and medicinal coleus

| Treatments | Gymnemic acid (mg 100g ⁻¹ dry weight) | | | | | Forskolin (%) | | | | |
|--|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | Months after storage | | | | | Months after storage | | | | |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| T ₁ - Jute Gunny bags | 442.20 | 441.10 | 440.62 | 435.38 | 434.12 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.50 | 0.42 |
| T ₂ - Polythene lined Gunny bags | 442.20 | 442.20 | 441.80 | 439.00 | 438.10 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.60 | 0.52 |
| T ₃ - Ventilated polythene lined Gunny bags | 442.20 | 442.20 | 440.85 | 438.70 | 437.45 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 0.48 |
| T ₄ - Storage at ambient condition | 442.20 | 440.00 | 435.25 | 429.10 | 424.58 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.54 | 0.45 | 0.38 |

References

- Balakrishnan M. Investigation on drying characteristics of candamom. Ph.D., (Agrl. Engg.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, 2001.
- Cai T, Chen F, Qi J. Dehydrated oriental mushrooms, leafy vegetables, and food preparation herbs and condiments. In: Handbook of Vegetable Preservation and Processing, 2004, 373-393.
- Cesare LF, Nani RC, Fusari EL, Viscards D, Vitale R. The influence of blanching drying and storage on the volatile fraction of the basil leaves. *Industries Alimentari* 2001; 40:1007-1013.
- Elsohly HN, Croom EM, El-Kashoury E, Elsohly MA, Machesney JD. Taxol contents of stored fresh and dried taxus clippings. *Journal of Natural Products*. 1994; 57:1025-1028.
- Fadel HHM, El-Massry KF. *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. Effect of drying on the volatile oil of fresh leaves and antioxidant activity of their extracts. *Journal of Essential Oil-Bearing Plants*. 2000; 3(1):5-19.
- Gupta OP, Pareek OP. Dehydration of fruits. Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi, 1993, 1934-1935.
- Hansen RC, Keener HM, Elsohly HN. Thin layer drying of cultivated taxus clippings. Ohio State University special circular No.150. Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center. The Ohio State University, Wooster, Ohio, USA. 1999, 45-52.
- Joy. Effect of drying and storage methods as quality of *Kaempferia rotunda*. *J Med Pl Sci*. 2003; 13(2):715-718.
- Liu Zhi Jun, Carpenter SB, Bourgeois WJ, Ying YU, Constantin RJ, Falcon MJ, *et al.* Variations in the secondary metabolite camptothecin in relation to tissue age and season in *Camptotheca acuminata* *Tree Physiol* 1998; 18(4):265-270.
- Malathy S, Pai JS. Monitoring of forskolin production from roots and callus by HPTLC in *Coleus forskohlii* Briq. *J Spices Aromatic Crops*. 1999; 8:153-157.
- Narasimhan P, Johnson PJ. Controlled temperature vacuum dehydration as alternative to freeze drying of sliced bread fruit. *J Food Sci Tech*. 1972; 32(4):305-309.
- Nema PK. Hot air and infra-red drying of carrot (*Dacus carota*). *Beverage and Food World* 2004; 3:30-34.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR, Publications, New Delhi, 1985.
- Pawar VN, Singh IN, Dev DK, Kulkarni DN, Ingle VM. Solar drying of white onion flakes. *Indian Food Packer*. 1988; 42(11):14-28.
- Pruthi JS. Minor Spices and Condiments: *Crop Management and Postharvest Technology*. Directorate of Information and Publication of Agriculture, ICAR, New Delhi. 2001, 782.
- Rajangam J. Studies on the effect of planting methods and growth regulators on growth, tuber development, yield and quality, and standardization of postharvest technology of coleus (*Coleus forskohlii* Briq.). Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, 2005.
- Rajangam J, Natarajan S, Rajamani K, Vadivel E. Standardization of drying technology in *Coleus forskohlii*. In: International Conference on Globalization of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Systems of Medicine. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, 2006.
- Rajnish Banga, Bowa AS. Studies on dehydration of graded carrot. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2002; 39(3):268-271.
- Rajpal V. Standardization of Botanicals- testing and extraction methods of medicinal herbs. Eastern Publishers, New Delhi, 2002; 1:140-148.
- Ranganath P, Dubash T. Thin layer drying rate of potato. *J Food Sci*. 1981; 51(2):240-243.
- Sablani SS, Rahman MS, Al-Habsi N. Effect of conventional and drying mechanical drying of potato and apple. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2003; 39(3):268-271.
- Subha Vasugi S. Standardization of organic practices in senna (*Cassia angustifolia* vahl.). M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, 2007.
- Tesic MM, Mortinov W, Muller J, Kota E. Green house type solar driers for drying medicinal plants. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Alternative Energy Sources Today and for 21st century. Brioni, Yugoslavia, 1998, 379-386.
- Ved DK, Goraya GS. Demand and Supply of Medicinal Plants in India. NMPB, New Delhi & FRLHT, Bangalore, India, 2007.
- Yoshikawa M, Yamaguch S, Chatani N, Nishino Y, Matsuoka T, Yamahara J, *et al.* Crude drugs from aquatic plants. III. Quantitative analysis of triterpene constituents in *Alismatis rhizoma* by means of high performance liquid chromatography on the chemical change of the constituents during *Alismatis rhizoma* processing. *Yakugaku Zasshi, Journal of the Pharmaceutical Society of Japan*. 1994; 114(4):241-247.
- Yuvaraj T. Studies on the effect of organic inputs and standardization of post harvest techniques in *Wedelia chinensis* (Osbeck.). Merrill. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis. 1994. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, 2007.