

ISSN (E): 2320-3862 ISSN (P): 2394-0530 NAAS Rating 2017: 3.53 JMPS 2017; 5(2): 238-291 © 2017 JMPS Received: 10-01-2017 Accepted: 11-02-2017

## Okereke SC

Department of Biochemistry, Abia State University, Uturu, Nigeria

#### Chukwudoruo CS

Department of Biochemistry, Abia State University, Uturu, Nigeria

#### Nwaokezie CO

Department of Biochemistry, Abia State University, Uturu, Nigeria

# Antioxidant enzyme activities and chemopreventive potentials of *Laportea aestuans* on urinary inflammatory markers using albino rats

# Okereke SC, Chukwudoruo CS and Nwaokezie CO

#### Abstract

The study evaluated antioxidant potentials of *Laportea aestuans* and chemopreventive potentials on urinary inflammatory markers using albino rats. The rats were fed with 10%, 30% and 50% *Laportea* aestuans. Results indicates a reduction in nitric oxide levels compared to control (P<0.05) while concentration of polyphenols in urine were significant with increased percentage of *Laportea aestuans* supplemented diet. This study also showed reductive potencies of *Laportea aestuans* in the concentration of protein in urine. Results also showed an increase in the activities of CyP1A1 microsomal enzymes in the test groups compared to control (P<0.05). The activities of Glutathion S- transferase, Quinone oxidoreductase and Thioredoxin reductase increased significantly in all the test samples with increasing percentage of *Laportea aestuans* compared to control. This is indicative of the potential health benefit of *Laportea aestuans* in chemoprevention. On this basis, *Laportea aestuans* have shown to inhibit the inflammatory mechanisms underlying disease activation, that precipitate major clinical manifestations of cancer by affecting altered mechanisms of inflammation and tissue proliferation.

Keywords: Laportea aestuans, Chemoprevention, antioxidant, inflammatory, urinary

## Introduction

The potential of plants as a veritable source for pharmaceuticals and other therapeutic materials have been emphasized. Plants have formed the basis of traditional system of medicine that have been in existence for thousands of years and continue to provide human kind with medical remedies <sup>[1]</sup>. Plants have always been among the common sources of medicines, either processed as traditional preparations or used to extract pure active principles. Several studies have shown that plants provide good sources of remedy against several diseases and ailment <sup>[2]</sup>. The medicinal relevance of these plants lies in some chemical substances that produce a definite physiological action on the human body <sup>[3]</sup>.

Inflammation supports the different phases of cancer development through the inflammatory modulators produced by infiltrating immune cells, resident stromal cells and cancer cells. Epidemiological studies have shown that chronic inflammation predisposes individuals to certain cancers, while anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant agents may protect against cancer development and metastasis [4]. Major nuclear transcription factors and molecular mediators of inflammation that induce altered cell expression of adhesion molecules, proteases, and growth factors are common factors in the microenvironment leading to disease development and progression of cancer. Therefore, novel target-oriented therapies affecting altered mechanisms of inflammation, angiogenesis and tissue proliferation may similarly inhibit cancer [4]. Carcinogenesis is as a result of genomic injury leading to alterations in gene is the basic cause of all cancers. This damage occurs by abnormal changes in the genetic makeup of healthy cells through mutations [5]. These changes may also occur as a result of hereditary or environmental factors such as chemical carcinogens and ionizing radiation [6].

Laportea aestuans, of the family *Urticaceae* is a herbaceous plant which appears as weed in new cultivations and fallows in West India, Africa and Asia. It is used traditionally as antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, abortifacient, febrifuge, laxative, pain-killer, in pulmonary and stomach troubles amongst others <sup>[7]</sup>. The leaf is used as an antimicrobial, laxative, in eye treatments and pain-killers <sup>[7]</sup>. It is also used in treating pulmonary and stomach troubles, diarrhea and dysentery <sup>[7]</sup>.

Correspondence Okereke SC Department of Biochemistry, Abia State University, Uturu, Nigeria In view of all the potential health benefit of this plant, the present work is therefore aimed at evaluating the chemopreventive potentials of *Laportea aestuans* on urinary inflammatory markers and its effects on antioxidant enzyme activities so as to ensure a holistic utilization of this plant in the treatment of diseases.

# Materials and Method: Collection of plant samples:

The plant materials used in this study were fresh leaves of Laportea aestuans harvested from a compound bush located at Okigwe, Imo State Nigeria and were identified by the Plant Science Department, Abia State University Uturu.

# **Experimental design**

Twenty four (24) male albino rats weighing between 30-45g were purchased from the animal house Department of Pharmacology, University of Nigeria Nssuka. The rats were grouped into four groups of six (6) rats each. Group I received 100% normal rat chow and served as the control. Group II received 90% normal rat chow and 10% *L. aestuans*. Group III received 70% normal rat chow and 30% *L. aestuans*. Group IV received 50% normal rat chow and 50% *L. aestuans*. All the groups were allowed free access to feed and water *ad libitum* and were exposed to normal 12 hours light and dark cycle under tropical weather conditions.

## **Determination of Nitrite and Nitrate levels in Urine**

The Nitrite and nitrate levels in urine were measured by the method described by [8] following the reduction with VCL<sub>3</sub>.

# CYP1A1 and CYP2B1 Determination.

Etoxyresorufin and Pentoxyresorufin- O-deethylase (EROD and PROD) activities were monitored by the continous spectroflourimetric procedure as described by <sup>[9]</sup> while polyphonol and urine metabolites were measured with a HPLC-DAD using a 4.6x 150mm Atlantis colume in a gradient of 1% formic acid (in water) in a flow of 0.8ml/min as outlined by <sup>[10]</sup>.

# **Determination of Glutathione-s-transferase.**

This was determined by the method of <sup>[10]</sup> using cytosolic liver protein supernatants as source of GST and CDNB (1-chloro 2, 4-dinitrobenzol) as a substrate.

# Determination of NAD(P)H: Quinone oxidoreductase (OR).

This was determined by the method of [11] by measuring the kinetics of NADPH- dependent menadiol-mediated reduction of 3-(4,5-dimethyl -2-thiazyl)-2,5-diphenyl -2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT).

# **Determination of Thioredoxin reductase**

This was done by the method described by <sup>[12]</sup> as outlined by <sup>[13]</sup> while glutathione (GSH) was determined using 5,5'-dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) as described by <sup>[14]</sup>.

# Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity (ORAC) determination

This was determined by the method of [15].

# Serum protein determination

This was done by the method described by [16].

## **Protein Concentration in Urine**

This was done by the method described by [17].

# Quantification of Polyphenol and Metabolites in urine of albino rats

Urine was filtered with a  $0.45\mu m$  filter; 1.8ml urine was diluted in  $200\mu l$  80% phosphoric acid and mixed. Afterwards, the diluted urine was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 10,000 rpm and  $300\mu l$  supernatant was added onto a C18 solid phase column and eluted with methanol. The polyphenol metabolites were measured with a HPLC-DAD using a 4.6x150mm Atlantis column in a gradient of 1% formic acid (in water) in acetonitrile in flow of 0.8ml/min. a final volume of  $10\mu l$  was injected and the polyphenols were detected using the respective absorption maximum.

## **Statistical Analysis**

Data collected were subjected to statistical analysis using One Way Analysis of Variance(ANOVA) for a completely randomized block design and Turkey's multiple comparision test using GraphPad Prism (Version 6.0) software were used to analyse data. Values are mean of triplicate determination  $\pm$  standard deviation and were considered significant when

## Results

Table 1 shows the effect of L. aestuans treatment on urine parameters of albino rats. Results showed that total NO detected (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>+NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) decreased significantly (P<0.05) in all the rats fed 10%, 30% and 50% L. aestuans supplemented diet respectively compared to control. Table 2 shows the effect of L. aestuans treatment on concentration of polyphenol and metabolites in urine of albino rats. Results indicate that the concentration of polyphenol in the urine of the animals expressed a dose dependent pattern. Caffeic acid, Ferulic acid and Epicatechin were detected in urine samples of animals. Animals feed with 50% L. aestuans and 50% feed had the highest concentration of these polyphenols. Table 3 shows the effect of L. aestuans treatment on protein concentration and antioxidant capacity in serum of albino rats. Findings indicates a slight significant increase in animals fed 50% L. aestuans while the other treatment increased non significantly (P>0.05). Table 4 shows the effect of L. aestuans treatment on liver microsomal enzyme activities (phase 1 enzymes). Results indicates that there were significant increase in the activities of CYP1A1 and amongst all the test groups compared to control Findings showed an increase in the activity of the enzyme with increase in percentage feed formulation with L. aestuans. The cytosolic enzymes (phase 11 enzymes) analysis shows that L. aestuans supplemented diet treatments increased hepatic GST activity significantly (P<0.05). The hepatic glutathione level increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing percentage composition of feed with L. aestuans.

**Table 1:** Effect of *L. aestuans* treatment on urine parameters on albino rats

Treatment	NO <sup>2-</sup> /NO <sup>3-</sup>	Protein (µg/mg creatinine)
100% feed (control)	$2.20\pm0.02$	3.95±0.05
90%feed+10% L. aestuans	2.09±0.01***	3.95±0.05
70%feed+30% L. aestuans	1.90±0.01***	3.86±0.04*
50% feed +50% L. aestuans	1.80±0.01***	3.80±0.03***

Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, Data normalized to creatinine, \*\*\* strongly significant (P<0.05)

Table 2: Effect of Laportea aestuans on polyphenols and metabolite in urine of albino rats

Treatment	Caffeic acid	Ferulic acid	Epicatechin
100% feed (control)	11.78±2.05	24.06±2.23	145.20±2.95
90%feed+10%L aestuans	15.50±0.97	32.10±1.09***	190.80±4.33
70%feed+30%L aestuans	24.76±1.21***	70.66±2.19***	529.80±2.49***
50%feed+50%L aestuans	234.5±4.44***	459.30±1.99***	2654.00±53.67***

Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, Data normalized to creatinine, \*\*\* strongly significant (P<0.05)

**Table 3:** Effect of *L. aestuans* treatment on protein concentration and antioxidant capacity in serum of albino rats.

Treatment	Protein(mg/ml)	ORAC (units/μg)
100% feed	35.00±2.92	$11.70\pm0.08$
90% feed +10%L aestuans	37.24±1.45	11.90±0.05
70% feed +30%L aestuans	37.64±0.82	11.70±0.04
50% feed +50%L aestuans	38.96±2.66	12.10±0.12***

Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, Data normalized to creatinine, \*\*\* strongly significant (P<0.05)

**Table 4:** Effect of *L. aestuans* treatment on liver microsomal enzyme of albino rats (phase 1 enzymes)

Treatment	EROD(CYP1A1) nmol/min/mg	PROD(CYP2B1) nmol/min/mg
100% feed(control)	17.28±0.08	8.28±0.08
90% feed+ 10% L. aestuans	18.80±0.07***	7.92±0.11***
70% feed+30%L.aestuans	21.52±0.08***	7.64±0.05***
50% feed+50%L.aestuans	41.28±0.08***	7.48±0.04***

Mean $\pm$  standard deviation (P<0.05). Data normalized to protein (mg)

**Table 5:** Effect of *L. aestuans* treatment on liver cytosolic enzyme activities of albino rats (phase 11 enzymes)

Treatment	GSH(nmol/min/mg)	QR(nmol/min/mg)	TrxR(nmol/min/mg)
100% feed	1740±0.90	33.7±0.28	10.2±0.11
90% feed	1745±1.6***	35.6±0.39***	12.7±0.08***
70% feed	1832±1.46***	38.1±0.19***	15.4±0.11***
50% feed	1990±0.86***	43.2±0.18***	20.8±0.07***

Mean± standard deviation (*P*<0.05). Data normalized to protein (mg). GST: Glutathion-Stransferase, QR: Quinone oxidoreductase, TrxR (NADPH): Thioredoxin reductase

**Table 6:** Effect of *L. aestuans* treatment on liver GSH levels of albino rats

Treatment	GSH(nmol GSH/mg)
100% feed	99.8±0.11
90% feed	105.0±0.17***
70% feed	110.0±0.22***
50% feed	139.0±0.13***

Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (P<0.05). Data normalized to protein (mg)

### Discussion

Chemoprevention is usually associated with plant-based diets [18]. The bioactive phytochemicals contained in plant foods are the critical components of their potential chemo-preventive actions due to their effects on certain macromolecules and some detoxification enzymes [19]. [7] have reported the phytochemical composition of Laportea aestuans. Nitric oxide is produced by several different types of cells including endothelial cells and macrophages. Findings from this study showed significant decrease in the level of NO<sup>2</sup>/NO<sup>3</sup> with increasing concentration of Laportea aestuans. Although early release of nitric oxide is important in maintaining the dilation of blood vessels, increased concentration of nitric oxide by inducible nitric oxide synthase in macrophages can result in oxidative damage. These reductive potentials of Laportea aestuans may be attributed to the bioactive constituents of the plant such as quercetin and curcumin [7]. Protein concentration in urine decreased significantly with increased percentage of Laportea aestuans. Increase in protein level in urine is associated with nephrotoxicity and is common in diabetes and inflammation hence the reduction in protein concentration in urine with increased percentage of Laportea aestuans compared to control is considered as an anti-inflammatory property of Laportea aestuans. Results also showed increased level of polyphenols and metabolites such as caffeic acid, ferulic acid and epicatechin in urine with increased percentage of Laportea aestuans. This increase in the level of these polyphenols in urine is a chemopreventive

mechanism of Laportea aestuans. Phytochemicals such as phytosterol, caroteniod, lycopene, terpenes, flavonoid, coumarin, querctin, isoflavonoid etc are known to produce chemopreventive effects on animal models [20, 21]. The antioxidant properties of Laportea aestuants have also been reported by [22]. One of the major components of the chemopreventive potentials of plant foods is their antioxidants capacity and their effect on antioxidant enzymes. Protein concentration as well as ORAC value increased with increasing concentration of Laportea aestuans. The etiology of numerous diseases such as cancer and cardiovascular diseases lies in the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). The result of this study showed increased activities of the antioxidant enzymes with increasing concentration of the plant sample. Metabolic activation is performed by cytochrome P450 (CYP) proteins. Findings from this study revealed significant decrease in both CYP1A1 and CYP2B1 enzyme activities in the test group compared to control. Reduction in the induction of phase 1 enzymes is considered favourable in chemoprevention [23]. The liver microsomal enzymes CYP1A1 and CYP2B1 play critical role in the metabolic activation of carcinogenic compounds leading to toxicity and colorectal cancer [23]. The cytosolic enzymes GSH, QR and TrxR levels increased with increasing percentage of Laportea aestuans. Cellular mechanisms of defense against reactive oxygen species rely heavily on GSH which also participate in several other physiological processes [24]. Findings from this study also showed an increase in the of protein concentration and ORAC values with increased percentage of Laportea aestuans Hence a novel therapeutic approach using Laportea aestuans as a chemopreventive agent can be of great benefit to man in the fight against cancer.

# Conclusion

The study revealed that *Laportea aestuans* exhibits chemopreventive properties. This property is based mainly on the positive effect *Laportea aestuans* exhibits on the

antioxidant and detoxifying system. This could be due to its bioactive components as reported by <sup>[7]</sup>. Therefore, the use of *Laportea aestuans* is advocated in order to inhibit the activities of cancer causing agent.

## References

- Akunne PN, Ene AC, Iheanacho KME, Okwu GN, Nwaogu LA, Ene CU. Acute toxicity of methanol extract of Picalima nitida in Swiss albino rats. World J. Biol. Med. Sci 2015; 2(3):22-28
- Dash GK, Abdullah MS, Yahaya R. Traditional uses, phytochemical and pharmacological aspects of Emilia sonchifolia. Int J Res Ayurveda Pharm. 2015; 6(4):551-556
- Tasneef A, Swatantra BS, Shivshankar P. Phytochemical Screening and Physicochemical Parameters of Crude Drugs: A Brief Review. Intern J. Pharm. Res and Review. 2013; 2(12):53-60.
- 4. Liu RH. Potential synergy of phytochemicals in cancer prevention: mechanism of action J Nutr. 2003; 134(12):3479-3485
- Mathers JC, Mickleburgh I, Chapman PC, Bishop DT, Burn J. Can resistant starch and/or aspirin prevent the development of colonic neoplasia? The Concerted Action Polyp Prevention (CAPP) 1Study. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 2003; 62:51-7.
- Shukla Y, Pal SK. Dietary cancer chemoprevention: An Overview. Int J Hum Genet. 2004: 4:265-276.
- 7. Okereke SC, Elekwa I, Chukwudoruo CS. Preliminary phytochemical screening and gas chromatographic FID evaluation of *Laportea aestuan* leaf extracts. Intern. J Curr. Biochem. Res. 2014; 2(3):37-43.
- 8. Miranda KM, Espey MG, Wink DA. A rapid, simple spectrophotometric method for simultaneous detection of nitrate and nitrite. Nitric Oxide, 2001; 5:62-71.
- Prough RA, Burke MD, Mayer RT. Direct fluorometric methods for measuring mixed function oxidase activity. Methods Enzymol. 1978; 52:372-7.
- 10. Keen JH, Habig WH, Jakoby WB. Mechanism for the several activities of the glutathione S-transferases. J. Biol. Chem. 1976; 251:6183-8.
- 11. Gerhauser C, You M, Liu J, Moriarty RM, Hawthorne M, Mehta RG. Cancer chemopreventive potential of sulforamate, a novel analogue of sulforaphane that indjuces phase 2 drug metabolizing enzymes. Cancer Res, 1997; 57:272-8.
- 12. Hill KE, McCollum GW, Burk RF. Determination of thioredoxin reductase activity in rat liver supernatant. Annal. Biochem., 1997; 253:123-125
- 13. Becker K, Gromer S, Schirmer RH, Muller S. Thioredoxin reductase as a pathophysiological factor and drug target. Eur. J. Biochem. 2000; 267:6118-25.
- 14. Tietze F. Enzymatic method for quantitative determination of nanogram amounts of total and oxidized glutathione: application to mammalian lood and other tissue. Annal Biochem. 1969; 27:502-522.
- Cao, Prior. Measurement of oxygen radical absorbance capacity in biological samples. Methods Enzymol. 1999; 299:50-62
- 16. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 1976; 72:248-54.
- 17. Smith PK, Krohn RI, Hermanson GT, Mallia AK, Gartner FH, Provenzano MD. Measurement of protein

- usimng bicinchronic acid. Anal. Biochem. 1985; 150:76-85
- Potter JD. Colon cancer do the nutritional epidemiology, the gut physiology and the molecular biology tell the same story? J. Nutr. 1993; 123(2):418-423
- Joseph P, Jaiswal AK. NAD(P)H: quinine reductase (DT diaphorase) specifically prevents the formation of benzo(a) pyrene quinine DNA adducts generated by cytochrome P4501A1 and P450 reductase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1994; 91:8413-8417.
- Fukushima S, Takada N, Hori T, Wanibuchi H. Cancer prevention by organosulfur compounds from garlic and oinion. J. Cell Biochem., 1997; 27:100
- Prestera T, Holtzclaw WD, Zhange Y, Talalay P. Chemical and molecular regulation of enzymes that detoxify carcinogens. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1993; 90:2965-2969.
- Okereke SC, Elekwa I. Studies on the in vitro antioxidant activity of *Laportea aestuans* leaf extract. IOSR J. Environ. Sci. Toxicol. Food Tech. 2014; 8:33-41
- Oyama T, Kagawa N, Kunugita N, Kitagawa K, Ogawa M, Yamaguchi T. Expression of cytochrome P450 in tumor tissues and its association with cancer development. Front Biosci. 2004; 9:1967-1976.
- 24. Meister A. A brief history of glutathione and a survey of its metabolism and functions. In Coenzymes and Cofactors (D. Dolphin, R. Poulson and O Avramovic Eds). Wiley, New York. 1989, 1-48.