Journal of Medicinal Plants Studies
www.PlantsJournal.com

ISSN (E): 2320-3862
ISSN (P): 2394-0530
NAAS Rating 2017: 3.53
JMPS 2017; 5(6): 139-144

Received: 13-09-2017
Accepted: 27-10-2017

Dr. Satya Narayan
Department of Agronomy, Amar Singh P.G. College, Lakhaoti, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence
Dr. Satya Narayan
Department of Agronomy, Amar Singh P.G. College, Lakhaoti, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh, India

Conservation agriculture: An overview

Dr. Satya Narayan

Abstract
Conservation Agriculture is a farming technique that emphasises little soil disturbance (i.e., no tillage), the preservation of a permanent soil cover, and plant diversity. It improves biodiversity and natural biological processes above and below ground, resulting in more efficient water and fertiliser usage, as well as enhanced and long-term crop production. CA methods can also help farmers adapt to current weather shifts. CA can include a wide range of activities such as forage and farm animal management, soil enhancement, agroforestry (mixed cultivation of agricultural crops and trees), watershed management, and management of areas reserved for village and community members. Climate change predictions for the Indian Himalayan Region (IHR) will be examined in this chapter. Then, for climatically affected locations, the potential of CA as a source to ease and acclimate to climate change will be investigated.

Keywords: Conservation, agriculture, technique, emphasises, management

Introduction
Conservation Agriculture (CA) includes a progression of sound land farming practices which limit soil aggravation, keep up all year natural matter soil cover, and use crop pivots and relationship to diminish effects of nuisances and sicknesses (Kassam *et al*., 2009; Baudron *et al*., 2007) [23, 1]. It is commenced on the use of three standards: insignificant culturing; unending natural cover over soils utilizing crop buildups or living cover yields; and harvest revolution (Chappell and Agnew, 2004; Thierfelder and Wall, 2009; Friedrich *et al*., 2012) [4, 38, 14]. Individual farmers expand inside the system of these standards relying upon the neighborhood soil fertility or monetary limit close by. Inferable from the nearby variations of CA standards, a few variations of CA rehearses it exist in sub-Saharan Africa. The different types of CA advanced among smallholder ranchers in sub-Sahara Africa regularly incorporate least culturing through burrowing bowls, tearing, or direct planting. Bowl CA includes utilizing a Chaka cultivator which is an uncommonly planned hand digger to make bowls of around 20 cm profundity, 30 cm length, and 15 cm width. The bowls are dove in columns that are separated 90 cm separated (CFU, 2007) [6]. Farmers with admittance to animal draft force or work vehicles use a furrow like carry out called a ripper to make tear lines or wrinkles that are 15e20 cm profound and separated 90e100 cm separated (CFU, 2007) [6]. This CA structure is called tearing CA. Poke grower or sticks (in situations where punch grower are inaccessible) are utilized to make a little opening in the dirt wherein seeds are then planted. This is the normal variation of direct planting or zero culturing CA rehearses in sub-Saharan Africa. Every one of these three CA culturing subsystems limit openness of soil to specialists of disintegration and diminish oxidation of soil natural matter (Johansen *et al*., 2012) [22]. Seeds and different data sources like mineral composts, fertilizer, and farming lime are set in the bowls or tear lines. This improves supplement use effectiveness and diminishes overflows, which would some way or another add to eutrophication as the supplement containing water winds up in streams and waterways (Umar, 2012) [39]. Advocates of CA have contended that ranchers that training CA are better positioned to adapt to the effects of environmental change contrasted and ranchers that training traditional farming (Haggblade and Tembo, 2003; Giller *et al*., 2009; Thierfelder and Wall, 2010; Mazvimavi, 2011) [20, 19]. This is on the grounds that, the advocates battle, CA produces negligible antagonistic effects on biological systems, diminishes soil disintegration, reduces down long haul creation expenses, and improves soil fruitfulness (Haggblade and Tembo, 2003; Hobbs, 2007; Hobbs *et al*., 2008) [20]. In any case, a few examinations have challenged the attestation that CA improves soil richness. An investigation by Nyamangara *et al*. (2013) [27] in Zimbabwe uncovered that CA didn't expand

soil natural carbon and absolute phosphorus when crop deposits were held in the fields and in any event, when harvests were pivoted. Moreover maize yields possibly expanded when mineral compost was added. We look at these discussions on CA and its commitments to environment administrations and food frameworks in the following area. We start by momentarily clarifying biological system administrations and practical agribusiness prior to featuring the nexus among CA and environment administrations.

At the point when a full scope of agronomic practices for CA (i.e., decreased or no culturing, lasting natural soil cover by holding crop residuals, and harvest turns, including cover crops) (Palm *et al*., 2013) [28] are ideally applied, they give significant biological system benefits that assume a critical part in supporting the livelihoods of smallholder ranchers, especially in the country networks. A definitive objective of ideally applied CA agronomic practices is to expand crop yields and improve natural supportability by utilizing a few environment administration boundaries determined constantly Ecosystem Assessment (2005), especially supporting (soil development, supplement cycling, and essential creation), managing (environment and water guideline), and provisioning (food security) biological system administrations. For instance, Sanchez (1995) [30] contends that one of the essential objectives of CA is to switch natural debasement and improve supportability. This objective can be accomplished through the dirt upgrade properties of CA which fall under the supporting biological system administrations. Likewise, Garrity (2004) [15] contends that CA can possibly help more than one billion smallholder ranchers all throughout the planet to switch land corruption, improve the climate, and upgrade their livelihoods by renewing soils, securing water catchments, reestablishing water catchments, and saving biodiversity. Hence, normal biological systems and agroecosystems are firmly interlaced.

Conservation Agriculture

It's an agronomic practice that involves decreased culturing (RT) or no-culturing (NT) or least culturing alongside stable cover to soil with natural materials or by holding buildup of harvests or developing green excrement crops as cover yield and turn of harvests with heartbeats and vegetables. As indicated by FAO rule, "CA is a way to deal with overseeing horticultural biological systems for upgraded and supported efficiency, improved returns, and food security while safeguarding and improving the asset base and the climate." The expressions "preservation agribusiness" (CA) and "asset rationing advancements" (RCTs) are not equivalents, but rather now and again the two words are utilized for a comparative importance. Along these lines, the practices which improve asset or information use productivity are named as RCTs. RCT is a more extensive term than CA which is utilized for nitrogen-proficient assortments; fuel-, cash, and time-effective methods; and improvement in plot-level water efficiency including CA. CA practices will incorporate just those RCTs with the accompanying highlights: CA is based on three core principles: minimum soil disturbance, maintenance of permanent soil cover and use of crop rotations with a diversity of crop species.

- Related concepts include no tillage and groundcovers as a way of minimising soil disturbance.
- Economic benefits of the approach include labour and fuel saving in perennial crops, cost-savings in annual crops, increase of yields, reduction of run-off and erosion which contribute to increased agricultural production costs.
- Environmental benefits include: improvement of soil properties, increase of biodiversity, less erosion, less CO2 emissions, increased carbon sequestration, less contamination of downstream water, reduction of floods and landslides.

Fig 1: The various conservation agricultural management practices

The previously mentioned standards are supposed to be normal to frameworks of CA. Notwithstanding, parts which are explicit in nature like determination of executes for ranch, techniques for foundation, turn of yields with heartbeats or vegetables, the board of fruitfulness in soil, the executives of mulch and buildup of harvests, and so on, are shifted by climate. The capability of CA is that it tends to be applied in a wide range of rural biological zones and accommodating in upgrading food security for an enormous number of smallholder inhabitants of agricultural nations (Sayre 2000; Derpsch and Friedrich 2009) [10]. CA is the best administration of regular assets like soil, water, vegetation, and biodiversity for supporting the future possibilities. CA can possibly diminish the impacts of changing environment by advancing harvest usefulness and benefits while keeping a coordination among farming, financial, and natural advantages (FAO 2011a; Giller *et al*. 2009) [19].

Direct Seeding or Planting or Minimum Soil Disturbance (Just Enough to Get the Seed into the Ground)

Direct cultivating manages cultivating frameworks in which seeds and compost are put straightforwardly into undisturbed soil in a solitary field activity or two separate tasks of preparing and cultivating. Just restricted strips or openings of soil are opened by the hardware openers used to put compost and seed in the dirt. In a perfect world the seed space is totally covered by mulch or green fertilizer crops again subsequent to cultivating, and no free soil ought to be noticeable on a superficial level. No-culturing (NT) or least culturing is vital agronomic practice which follows such rules of least soil unsettling influence, and we can place seed and compost into the dirt without extricating it which keeps up different actual properties, for example, soil structure, total, total dependability, and porosity. It facilitates porousness of water and great air circulation (trade of gases) to the roots. It likewise gives specialty of different microbial populaces. Consequently, CA includes the utilization of least or no-culturing alongside crop buildup maintenance as this tends to soil actual debasement issues by lessening subsurface compaction (Sayre and Hobbs 2004) [31, 32]. Buildup maintenance or joining for the most part expands soil carbon content in the dirt (Das *et al*. 2014) [8]. The steady collection is shaped by the action of soil fauna and vegetation when they are high in number or plentiful sum is available in the dirt.

They improve porosity, air penetrability, and water invasion in the dirt; this cycle is known as "natural culturing" and it's anything but viable with mechanical culturing. With mechanical culturing soil gets upset, and the natural soil organizing measures evaporate. Least soil unsettling influence keeps up ideal extents of breath gases in the establishing zone, moderate natural matter oxidation, porosity for water development, maintenance, and delivery and limits the re-openness of weed seeds.

Why Adopt CA Practices?

Exorbitant/pitiful precipitation, moderately low temperature, poor and shallow soils, and soil disintegration as far as loss of fruitful top soil are the significant normal issues that plague the supported agriculture in the slopes. In the IHR, soil and water disintegration prompts tragic loss of soil and extreme corruption of farmland. Because of these issues, CA is a standout amongst other administration rehearses (BMPs) to take care of this load of issues of slope agriculture. There are some significant purposes behind appropriation of CA. Exorbitant/pitiful precipitation, generally low temperature, poor and shallow soils, and soil disintegration as far as loss of fruitful top soil are the significant normal issues that plague the supported agribusiness in the slopes. In the IHR, soil and water disintegration prompts grievous loss of soil and serious debasement of farmland. Because of these issues, CA is a standout amongst other administration rehearses (BMPs) to take care of this load of issues of slope farming. There are some significant purposes behind appropriation of CA. Climate changeability and change represent a generous danger to jobs and financial exercises in the slopes. Numerous families that are defenseless against food frailty are likewise exceptionally powerless to climate stuns and climatic perils. The CA practices can likewise add to making cultivating frameworks more adaptable to environmental change. In a few cases, CA has been shown to diminish agrarian frameworks' ozone harming substance (GHG) emanations and increment their work as carbon sequestration. CA can help the capacity of smallholder ranchers toward adaption to environmental change by diminishing vulnerability to dry spell and enhancement of normal asset base of thelocality on which ranch usefulness depends. The CA practices, for example, no-culturing and covering of soil with harvests can likewise utilized for perpetual yields which incorporates olives, nut crops, plants of grapes, or organic product crop plantations. The CA can likewise be utilized for crops in winter, and for ordinary revolutions with leguminous yields, sunflower, and canola, and in ranch crops with water system where CA can help streamline water system framework the board for preservation of water, energy, and nature of soil, decline saltiness and alkalinity issues, and increment manure use productivity (FEU), water invasion, and obstruction against dry spell (Colmenero *et al*. 2013) [7].

The IHR is recognized with light-to medium-finished soils, undulating geographical highlights, high precipitation during summer, and genuinely profoundly disintegrated soils due to slopy land. This careful gathering of sort of climate, land, and culturing highlights made this key cultivating region especially powerless to soil corruption (Bhattacharyya *et al*. 2009) [2]. The CA is underlined on improving asset use effectiveness (RUE), and creation proficiency (PE) is the need of great importance as a solid instrument for the board of normal assets and to acquire manageability in agriculture. The ordinary strategies through escalated rural practices were fruitful in accomplishing objectives of creation for the expanding populaces however at the same time prompted debasement of regular assets (Bhattacharyya *et al*. 2012) [3]. The customary strategy for development, including escalated culturing and crop foundation rehearses, isn't just asset (work, water, and energy) serious yet in addition emanates critical measures of GHGs (Grace *et al*. 2003). To address these difficulties, researchers have created BMPs, including CAPs and new yield pivots with vegetables that are more useful and productive and thought to be monetarily doable and natural amicable (Gathala *et al*. 2013; Laik *et al*. 2014) [18]. Various segments of CA like zero culturing, least culturing, no-culturing, preservation culturing, dry drill cultivating of rice (DSR), and buildup maintenance have been assessed in cereal frameworks (Gathala *et al*. 2011a, b; Kumar *et al*. 2013; Ghasal *et al*. 2014; Bhatt and Kukal 2015) [16, 17, 31].

Difference between Conventional and Conservation Agriculture

In the conventional farming, the board rehearses are broadly utilized of different culturing activities for furrowing of the land for readiness of seedbed and to hold weed down, i.e., moldboard or animal drawn furrow or frightening, boring, cultivator, and so forth These culturing tasks are rehashed ordinarily; because of this conditions separate the dirt construction and obliterate pore and soil gets inclined to disintegration and prompts hefty expense of time, fuel, and work. Be that as it may, customary culturing presented soil to air and daylight which makes oxidation of natural matter and leads low carbon content in soil which influences soil structure. The oxidation of natural matter deliveries CO2 into climate which causes a dangerous atmospheric devation or environmental change (Grace *et al*. 2003). It was seen that dirt natural matter decays all the more quickly in the jungles contrasted with subtropical and moderate environments due to the higher temperature (Steiner 2002) [36]. The preservation horticulture framework includes explicit agronomic field tasks like least soil unsettling influence or utilization of zero culturing or NT, soil cover with green compost or yield buildup (mulching), and crop pivot; these administration rehearses are profoundly helpful for ranch local area since it saves fuel, time, and work just as it gives great soil structure, porosity, more amassing of the natural matter in soil which gives better soil accumulation, water-holding limit, soil dampness as long as possible, supplement reusing, and change. In the interim, the preservation culturing improves soil fruitfulness, water, and harvest usefulness; the no-culturing gives preferred soil insurance over ordinary culturing. This occurs as the customary culturing framework leaves ~1–5% of the dirt surface covered with crop deposits (Hussain *et al*. 1998).

Table 1: Distinct differences between Conventional and Conservation agriculture

Conventional agriculture	Conservation agriculture
Cultivation of land with the help of machinery, using science and technology	Minimal disturbances to nature during crop grown processes
Excessive motorized tillage and leads to deterioration of soil pores or structure	No-tillage or considerably reduced tillage (bio-tillage) increases porosity
Higher wind speed and water erosion	Lower wind speed and water erosion

Removal of crop residue from field or burning or uncovered surface	Soil covered with crop residue permanently covered
Low water infiltration	High rate of infiltration of water
Decrease water-holding capacity	Enhance water-holding capacity
FYM/composts added from outside or green manuring (incorporated)	Use of in situ organics/composts or brown manuring/cover crops (stubble retention)
Due plowing established weeds are kills but also stimulates more weed seeds to germinate	Weeds create problem during early stage of implementation but reduce with time
Soil become very compacted due to more use of heavy traffic or machinery	Control of traffic, there is less compaction

Table 2: Effect of CA based technologies on yield gain, water saving and increase in water productivity (WPI) over conventional practice

Technologies	Cropping System	Yield Gain (%)	Water Saving (%)	Increase in WPI (%)	References
Laser land levelling (LLL)	Rice–wheat	12–15	15–20	25–30	Jat *et al*., () and Kakraliya *et al*. ()
LLL + ZT + Mulch + Site specific nutrient management (SSNM)	Rice– wheat	7–9	17–30	21–38	Kakraliya *et al*. (2018)
Zero tillage (ZT) + Mulch	Wheat	15–25	10–15	25–50	Gathala *et al*. (2013) [18], Kakraliya *et al*. (2018)
ZT + Mulch	Maize	8.4	72	281	Kumar *et al*., 2018 [18]
ZT + Mulch	Wheat	15	–	–	Aryal *et al*. (2016)
Permanent beds (PBs)+Mulch	Maize- wheat	38	83–85	270	Choudhary *et al*. (2018a
DSR + Mulch	Rice	5–15	15–30	20–42	Gathala *et al*. (2013) [18]
PBs + Mulch	Maize- wheat	28–31	27–31	–	Jat *et al*. (2018c)
SSNM	Maize- wheat	13.4	–	–	Jat *et al*. (2018c)
Legumes inclusion (Mungbean)	Rice-wheat	10–18	–	–	Jat *et al*. (2018a), Gathala *et al*. (2013) [18]

Global and Indian Scenario of Conservation Agriculture

Around the world, CA is being drilled on 157.8 Mha. The significant CAP nations are the USA (35.61 Mha), Brazil (31.81 Mha), Argentina (29.18 Mha), Canada (18.31 Mha), and Australia (17.69 Mha). In India, reception of CA is as yet in the fundamental stage. Over the point of reference modest number of years, appropriation of ZT and CA is gradually expanding and has extended to cover ~1.5 Mha (Jat *et al*. 2012) [34]. The primary CA-based advancements being received is ZT wheat in the rice–wheat (R-W) trimming framework in the Indo-Gangetic fields (IGP). It has been seen that the region under wheat embracing the ZT drill has been rising quick, and as of now ~25–30% of wheat is ZT in rice–wheat-developing spaces of the IGP of India. Likewise, planting with raised-bed and laser land evening out are continuously upgrading appropriation by the ranchers of the northwestern district (Bhatt and Kukal 2015; Bhan and Behera 2014).

Diversified Crop Rotations

Crop Rotation alludes to developing of various kinds of yields in a similar region in sequenced season. It is significant for soil wellbeing which diminishes allelopathic impact of yields and builds crop efficiency and soil ripeness and quality. Developing of same yield in same spot for a long time or monocropping causes unfavorable impact on soil wellbeing, and soil gets wiped out. Adjusted yield pivot or expansion of vegetable or heartbeat crops in crop turn lessens the numerous prevailing bug and infection issues, allelopathic impact of different harvests which is destructive for gainful harvests, including the multiplication of creepy crawly bugs and other unsafe microscopic organisms, infections, and growths, by expanding the variety and wealth of advantageous soil microorganism that can help hold bug and illness issues under control. Turning crops additionally intrudes on the existence pattern of numerous weeds, in this way prompting a decrease in by and large weed populace. These advantages mean a commonplace yield increment of ~10% of harvests filled in revolution, when contrasted with those filled in monoculture (Vanlauwe *et al*. 2014). Revolution ought to include at any rate three distinct harvests. The pivot of yields isn't simply important to offer an assorted "diet" to the dirt microorganisms, however as they root at various soil profundities, they are fit for investigating diverse soil layers for supplements and water. Supplements that have been filtered to more profound layers and that are not, at this point accessible for the business yield can be "reused" by the harvests in turn. This way the pivot crops capacities as organic siphons. Besides, a variety of harvests in revolution prompts an assorted soil verdure, as the roots discharge diverse natural substances that draw in various sorts of microbes and growths, which thus assume a significant part in the change of these substances into accessible plant supplements (FAO 2015).

Effects of Crop Rotation

- Higher diversity in plant production and thus in human and livestock nutrition.
- It reduces the risk of pest, diseases, and weed infestations.
- Diversified crops are grown which enhance root penetration, porosity, moisture, and nutrient recycling equal transformation in soil profile.
- Enhance biological N2 fixation through certain plant–soil biota symbionts and
  improved balance of N/P/K from both oorganic and mineral sources.
- Increased humus formation.

Conservation agriculture (CA) improves following parameters

Soil Properties: Soil Aggregation, Aggregate Stability, and Structure

Soil aggregate stability deals with the susceptibility of soil to change under natural or anthropogenic activities. It is important for conservation of soil due to water erosion because erodibility of soils is directly related to aggregate stability. There is medium to high aggregate correlation between aggregate stability in water, aggregate size, and total organic carbon content.

Soil Moisture

The CA underscores on soil cover with crop deposits and mulches help in preserving dampness and soil. It for the most

part requires essentially less water use because of expanded penetration and upgraded water-holding limit from crop buildups left on the dirt surface. Mulches likewise shield the dirt surface from outrageous temperatures and significantly diminish surface vanishing, which is especially significant in tropical and subtropical environments. From the few examinations, it has been discovered that preservation horticulture saves 20–30% of water system water due to bring down dissipation misfortunes from surface as surface is covered with deposits (Jat *et al*. 2012) [34].

Increases Water Infiltration and Hydraulic Conductivity

The CA give simplicity of penetration since least upsetting of soil which have better soil pores design or porosity and improves water driven conductivity. Thus, the impact of culturing and buildup cover on water penetration is most likely because of changes in soil stru ct ure (Mrabet 2007). Long haul concentrates in Zimbabwe and Zambia revealed that killing culturing and holding buildup can fourfold water invasion comparative with regular culturing (Thierfelder *et al*. 2012a, b). Expanded soil water substance and harvest yields have honey been announced in Kenya, Zimbabwe, Zambia, and Malawi when these two preservation agric ulture standards are carried out (Gicheru *et al*. 2006; Thierfelder *et al*. 2012c). Mulch cover or harvest buildup gives benefits in improved water penetration and decreased soil su rface dissipation particularly under dry or moisture- limited conditions (Turmel *et al*. 2014). Precipitation invasion is improved under no-culturing frameworks, which builds the measure of soil water accessible for plants for substantial finished soils (Mrabet 2007). Nonetheless, a couple of scientists, showed that invasion and water powered conductivity, was lower under no-culturing than regular culturing. In a word, CA improves pressure driven conductivity and invasion and decreases vanishing rate, spillover, and soil disintegration by crop buildup.

Increases Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) and Organic Matter

Soil Organic Matter (SOM) is an essential determinant of soil richness, usefulness, and manageability and is a vital marker of soil quality (Chivenge *et al*. 2007). It gives fundamental supplements to crops and keeps up soil total and steadiness. The CAPs increment SOM substance and supplement accessibility by using the past crop buildups or developing green fertilizer or cover crops (GMCs) and keeping these buildups as a surface mulch as opposed to consuming. There is proof that end of culturing can bring about sequestration of carbon (Bessam and Mrabet 2003). Long haul field probes CA showed that natural carbon was improved by direct drill stubble held on the dirt surface. The substance of OC in soil can likewise be expanded by CA by keeping crop buildups containing carbon and supplements at the dirt surface layer (Moreno *et al*. 2006). NT improves soil quality (soil work), OC, total, protection of soil, dissipation of water, and soil structure (Araya *et al*. 2012). Mrabet *et al*. (2001) saw that expansions in SOM of ~14% with NT, and 3.3% with traditional culturing over a 11-year time span. By and large, there is a pattern toward a separation of SOM at the surface under NT (Franzluebbers 2002). CA improves farming usefulness, decreasing dampness shortages, upgrading supplement cycling and soil ripeness, diminishing soil disintegration, and expanding carbon sequestration (Kassam *et al*. 2009; Palm *et al*. 2013) [23].

Conclusion

CA frameworks are most fitting approach to monitor soil and water assets in slopy space of Indian Himalayan Region (IHR). It is likewise demonstrated and should be advanced as overwhelmingly and generally as conceivable to remunerate the impact of environmental change. Elements engaged with advancing CA have frequently been considered as impression of smallholder farmers of environmental change and CA as a transformation system. This part incorporates the smallholder farmers; impression of environmental change in Himalayan also, CA. Smallholder farmers' insights identified with floods and dry seasons were essentially connected with selection of CA.

References

1. Baudron F, Mwanza H, Triomphe B, Bwalya M. Conservation Agriculture in Zambia: A Case Study of Southern Province. African Conservation Tillage Network (ACT), Nairobi, Kenya 2007.
2. Bhattacharyya R, Ved-Prakash RB, Kundu S, Srivastva AK, Gupta HS. Soil aggregation and organic matter in a sandy clay loam soil of the Indian Himalayas under different tillage and crop regimes. Agric Ecosyst Environ 2009;132:126-134.
3. Bhattacharyya R, Tuti MD, Kundu S, Bisht JK, Bhatt JC. Conservation tillage impacts on soil aggregation and carbon pools in a sandy clay loam soil of the Indian Himalayas. Soil Sci Soc Am J 2012;76:617-627.
4. Chappell A, Agnew CT. Modelling climate change in West African Sahel rainfall (1931e90) as an artifact of changing station locations. International Journal of Climatology 2004;24(5):54.
5. Chappell A, Agnew CT. Modelling climate change in West African Sahel rainfall (1931e90) as an artifact of changing station locations. International Journal of Climatology 2004;24(5):54.
6. CFU. Conservation farming and conservation agriculture: handbook for Ox farmers in agro-ecological regions I and IIa. In: Conservation Farming & Conservation Agriculture. Conservation Farming Unit, Lusaka, Zambia 2007.
7. Colmenero MR, Bienes R, Eldridge DJ, Marques MJ. Vegetation cover reduces erosion and enhances soil organic carbon in a vineyard in the central Spain. Catena 2013;104:153-160.
8. Das B, Chakraborty D, Singh VK, Aggarwal P, Singh R, Dwivedi BS *et al*. Effect of integrated nutrient management practice on soil aggregate properties, its stability and aggregate- associated carbon content in an intensive rice-wheat system. Soil Tillage Res 2014;136:9-18.
9. Derpsch R. Historical review of no-tillage cultivation of crops 2007.
10. Derpsch R, Friedrich T. Global overview of conservation agriculture adoption. In: Lead Papers 4th World Congress on Conservation Agriculture. World Congress on Conservation agriculture, New Delhi 2009.
11. Derpsch R. Conservation tillage, no-tillage and related technologies. Conservation Agriculture 2003, 181-190.
12. FAO. What is Conservation Agriculture? [Online] Available: accessed on 2010b.
13. FAO. Terminal Report Conservation Agriculture Scaling up for Increased Productivity and Production (CASPP) Project 2011a. FAO/Norwegian Embassy/ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Lusaka.

14. Friedrich T, Derpsch R, Kassam A. Overview of the global spread of conservation agriculture. Field Actions Science Reports, Special Issue 2012, 6.
15. Garrity DP. Agroforestry and the achievement of the Millennium development goals. Agroforestry Systems 2004;61:517.
16. Gathala MK, Ladha JK, Kumar V, Saharawat YS, Kumar V, Sharma PK *et al*. Tillage and crop establishment affects sustainability of South Asian rice–wheat system. Agron J 2011a;103:961-971.
17. Gathala MK, Ladha JK, Saharawat YS, Kumar V, Kumar V, Sharma PK. Effect of tillage and crop establishment methods on physical properties of a medium-textured soil under a seven-year rice–wheat rotation. Soil Sci Soc Am 2011b;75:1851-1862.
18. Gathala M, Kumar V, Sharma PC, Saharawat Y, Jat HS, Singh M *et al*. Optimizing intensive cereal-based cropping systems addressing current and future drivers of agricultural change in the north-western Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Agric Ecosyst Environ 2013;177:85-97.
19. Giller KE, Witter E, Corbeels M, Tittonell P. Conservation agriculture and smallholder farming in Africa: the heretics view. Field Crops Research 2009;114:23-34.
20. Haggblade S, Tembo G. Conservation Farming in Zambia. International Food Research Policy Research Institute: Washington. D.C., USA. Discussion Paper No. 2003, 108.
21. Hebblethwaite J, Soza R, Faye A, Hutchinson N. No-till and reduced tillage for improved crop production in sub-Saharan Africa. Achieving greater impact from research 1996.
22. Johansen C, Haque ME, Bell RW, Thierfelder C, Esdaile RJ. Conservation agriculture for small holder rain fed farming: opportunities and constraints of new mechanized seeding systems. Field Crops Research 2012;132:1832.
23. Kassam AH, Friedrich T. Perspectives on nutrient management in conservation agriculture. Invited paper, IV World Congress on Conservation Agriculture, 4-7 February 2009, New Delhi 2009.
24. MAL. Draft of National Agricultural Policy. Policy objectives and Measures, Vol. Objective 10. Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock, Lusaka Zambia 2013.
25. Mazvimavi K. Socio-economic Analysis of Conservation Agriculture in Southern Africa. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy. Network Paper No. 2, 2011.
26. Ngwira A, Johnsen FH, Aune JB, Mekuria M, Thierfelder C. Adoption and extent of conservation agriculture practices among smallholder farmers in Malawi. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 2014;69(2):107-119.
27. Nyamangara J, Masvaya EN, Tirivavi R, Nyengerai K. Effect of hand-hoe based conservation agriculture on soil fertility and maize yield in selected smallholder areas in Zimbabwe. Soil and Tillage Research 2013;126:19e25.
28. Palm C, Blanco-Canqui H, DeClerck F, Gatere L, Grace P. Conservation agriculture and ecosystem services: an overview. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 2013;187:87-105.
29. Power AG. Ecosystem services and agriculture: tradeoffs and synergies. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B: Biological Sciences 2010;365:2959-2971.
30. Sanchez PA. Science in agroforestry. Agroforestry Systems 1995;30:555.
31. Sayre K. Effects of tillage, crop residue retention and nitrogen management on the performance of bed-planted, furrow irrigated spring wheat in northwest Mexico. Paper presented at the Conference of the International Soil Tillage Research Organization, 15; Fort Worth; 2-7 July, 2000.
32. Sayre KD, Hobbs PR. The raised-bed system of cultivation for irrigated production conditions. In: Lal R, Hobbs P, Uphoff N, Hansen DO (eds) Sustainable agriculture and the rice-wheat system. Ohio State University, Columbus. Paper 2004;20:337-355.
33. Sharma AR, Singh R, Dhyani SK. Conservation tillage and mulching for optimizing productivity in maize-wheat cropping system in the outer western Himalaya region: A review. Indian J Soil Conserv 2005;33(1):35-41.
34. Sharma AR, Jat ML, Saharawat YS, Singh VP, Singh R. Conservation agriculture for improving productivity and resource-use efficiency: prospects and research needs in Indian context. Indian J Agron 2012;57(IAC Special Issue):131-140.
35. Six J, Ogle SM, Conant RT, Mosier AR, Paustian K. The potential to mitigate global warming with no- tillage management is only realized when practised in the long term. Glob Chang Biol 2004;10(2):155-160.
36. Steiner K. Conservation tillage-gateway to food security and sustainable rural development. African conservation tillage network. Information series no 4, 2002.
37. Tanner T, Mitchell T. Entrenchments or enhancement: could climate change adaptation help to reduce chronic poverty? Inst Dev Stud Bull 2008;39:6-15.
38. Thierfelder C, Wall PC. Effects of conservation agriculture techniques on infiltration and soil water content in Zambia and Zimbabwe. Soil and Tillage Research 2009;105(2):217e227.
39. Umar BB, Aune JB, Johnsen FH, Lungu O. Options for Improving Smallholder Conservation Agriculture in Zambia. Journal of Agricultural Science 2011;3(3):50e62.