



ISSN (E): 2320-3862  
ISSN (P): 2394-0530  
[www.plantsjournal.com](http://www.plantsjournal.com)  
JMPS 2022; 10(3): 01-06  
© 2022 JMPS  
Received: 03-03-2022  
Accepted: 05-04-2022

**Sanjana Parida**  
Centre for Biotechnology, Siksha  
O Anusandhan (Deemed to be  
University, Kalinga Nagar,  
Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

**Deoraj Sharma**  
School of Biotech Sciences,  
Trident Academy of Creative  
Technology, Bhubaneswar-24,  
India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Sanjana Parida**  
Centre for Biotechnology, Siksha  
O Anusandhan (Deemed to be  
University, Kalinga Nagar,  
Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

# Journal of Medicinal Plants Studies

[www.PlantsJournal.com](http://www.PlantsJournal.com)

## Is stevia safe and healthy for human consumption

**Sanjana Parida and Deoraj Sharma**

### Abstract

Replacing sucrose with non-caloric sweeteners is a technique to keep away obese and diabetes development. Non-caloric sweeteners are categorised into both synthetic as 'Sucralose' or herbal as 'Stevia'. Both of them had been accepted with the aid of using FDA, however the outcomes in their persistent intake are controversial. The present aimed to assess the outcomes of those sweeteners, in male and woman albino mice, on different blood biochemical parameters and immunological parameters after eight and sixteen weeks of sweeteners management. Approximately, 40.5 mg/ml of sucrose, 5.2 mg/ml of sucralose and 4.2 mg/ml of stevia have been dissolved in distilled water. Mice have been administrated with the aid of using sweetener's solution for 5 h daily. Male and woman mice confirmed a choice for water intake with sucralose or stevia. Both of the 2 sweeteners drastically decreased the haemoglobin degree, HCT%, RBCs and WBCs count. After 18 weeks, massive elevations in liver and kidney feature enzymes have been found in male and woman mice administrated with each non-caloric sweetener. Histopathological exam in sucralose and stevia administrated organizations showed the biochemical results; in which it discovered an excessive harm in liver and kidney sections. While, sucrose management elevated, only, the tiers of ALT, AST and cholesterol in male mice. A lively elevation in tiers of various immunoglobulin (IgG, IgE and IgA) and proinflammatory cytokines (IL-6 and IL-8), that turned into followed with the aid of using a massive deduction in degree of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10, found in male and woman mice organizations administrated with sucralose or stevia. On the alternative hand, sucrose management brought about an elevation in IgA and deduction in IL-10.

**Keywords:** Sucralose, stevia, immunoglobulin, cytokines, ALT, AST

### 1. Introduction

Sucrose, a disaccharide consisting of the monosaccharide fructose. Glucose makes up 99.7% of daily desk sugar. It is not important for vitamins due to the loss of nutrients and minerals (Mathlouthi and Reiser, 1995) [10]. Overdose of sucrose promotes weight problems and Type II diabetes (Amchra *et al.*, 2018) [1]. Expensive ingesting sucrose in beverages and diet has harmful effects about weight; can cause various fitness problems such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Johnson *et al.*, 2007) [8]. Also, animals' version experiments confirmed overdose of sucrose ends with hyperinsulinemia, hyperglycaemia, hypertension, insulin resistance (Amchra *et al.*, 2018) [1]. The American Heart Association restrained sugar consumption to 30 g (one hundred calories) in line with day for average sized females and 45 g (one hundred fifty calories) for average sized men (Mitka, 2009) [12]. The final decade noticed an in-depth elevation in the wide variety of meal supplements that consists of noncaloric sweeteners so as to conquer fitness troubles related to weight problems and diabetes. And many research has targeted on sweetener intake in overweight and diabetic patients. In each case, the essential motive become to lessen the caloric consumption of their regular diet (Gardner *et al.*, 2012) [6]. The growing ratio of people tormented by continual diseases as diabetes and weight problems accelerated the significance of sugar substitutes as an replacement to sucrose. The sugar alternative is diet ingredients that reproduce the sweetness of sucrose in a much smaller or smallest amount and have no calories. It is classified as synthetic sweetener such as Sucralose, Saccharin, Aspartame, and Cyclamate and also herbal sweeteners like Stevia (Tandell, 2011). Five synthetic sweeteners are Saccharin, Acesulfame, Aspartame, Neotame, Sucralose FDA. Stevia, a plant-derived low-calorie sweetener, was also approved (Mattes and Popkin, 2009) [11]. Human body reacts to these sweeteners in a completely complex way. Non-nutritive sweeteners are sucrose or excess fructose corn syrup. Their joint use overstimulates sugar limits receptor and much weaker sweetness tolerance foods such as fruits and sugar-free foods such as vegetables (Black *et al.*, 1993) [2]. Animal studies have confirmed that synthetic sweeteners are addictive (Yang, 2010) [22].

Lenoire *et al.* (2007)<sup>[9]</sup> pronounced its strength, the sweetness of saccharin can even exceed the rewards of cocaine in rats with drug hypersensitivity and addiction. Also, the liver and kidney sections from all experimental authorities may be covered for histopathological examination.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Creatures

The review was directed on male and female BALB/c pale skinned mice, 6 weeks age and 18-20 g weight, bought from Theodor Bilharzia Research Institute (TBRI), Giza, Egypt. Mice were housed in individual enclosures and permitted to adjust for multi week, in the creature house climate, prior to running out the examination. Trial conventions were done by the worldwide care and utilization of centre facility creatures' rules and endorsed by some groups. Mice gatherings were kept up with under controlled temperature,  $21 \pm 2$  °C, and on 12/12 h light/dull cycle. Standard rodent diet (18% unrefined protein, 5% unrefined petroleum, 54% sugars, nutrients, salts and minerals) was permitted, not obligatory through the whole investigation.

### 2.2. Sugars

Portion computation regularly requires close consideration due to the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamic varieties between creatures. During the review, allometric scaling let us trade portions between species. It is generally utilized for the change of portions among outside species and not inside species. It is an experimental move towards where medication portion trade depends on portion to body surface standardization. This approach recommends that anatomic, physiologic also, biochemical components between species have some unique trademark when an allometric scale is utilized for potential contrasts in the pharmacokinetic/physiological time (Chaturvedi *et al.*, 2001; Romberg and Lewandowski, 2006). In this review, Animal comparable portion (AED) was determined based on body surface region by increasing the human portion (mg/kg) by the  $K_m$  proportion (AED = Human portion X  $K_m$  proportion) as per Nair and Jacob (2016).  $K_m$  proportion was gotten from FDA draft rules (2005). Sucralose was acquired as sweet (Hy gINT drug organization) what's more, stevia was acquired as Sweet Leaf (Wisdom Natural Brands). 40.5 mg/ml of sucrose (S5016), 5.20 mg/ml of sucralose also, 4.20 mg/ml of stevia were broken up exclusively in refined water. Mice are night time creatures and their water admission are emphatically connected to the circadian mood of their waking/dozing conduct (Eckel-Mahan and Sassone-Corsi, 2013). The arrangements were put in the water for 5 h (from 7 to 12 pm everyday), then, at that point, supplanted with typical drinking water. The body weight, food utilization, and also volume of everyday polished off water with and without not entirely set in stone for all exploratory gatherings at 8- and 4 -months post organization.

### 2.3. Experimental design

Eighty mice (forty every male and female) have been divided into eight organizations consistent with sex:

Group I: Control organization acquired regular consuming water without sweeteners for eight weeks,

Group II: Control organization acquired regular consuming water without sweeteners for sixteen weeks,

Group III: Mice acquired sucrose dissolved in consuming water for eight weeks,

Group IV: Mice acquired sucrose dissolved in consuming water for sixteen weeks,

Group V: Mice acquired sucralose dissolved in consuming water for eight weeks,

Group VI: Mice acquired sucralose dissolved in consuming water for sixteen weeks,

Group VII: Mice acquired stevia dissolved in consuming water for eight weeks,

Group VIII: Mice acquired stevia dissolved in consuming water for sixteen weeks.

At the end of the experiment, mice have been anaesthetized with pentobarbital (80 mg/kg), and blood gathered through direct cardiac puncture (Farid *et al.*, 2020a)<sup>[5]</sup>. Cardiac puncture suggested to extract a single, excessive fine and huge quantity of blood from mice version on the terminal degree of the study. Mice have to be beneath terminal anaesthesia whilst collecting blood samples. Correct needle is used for gathering the blood samples from the coronary heart ventricle (Parasuraman *et al.*, 2010). Coagulated blood turned into centrifuged, for 10 min at 2500 rpm, to split the serum. Serum aliquots have been saved at 80° C until biochemical and immunological dimension. Heparinized blood turned into used for measuring haematological parameters.

### 2.4. Blood picture, blood biological parameters, enzymatic activity and immunological parameters

Haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), called glycosylated or glycosylated haemoglobin, is a minor factor of haemoglobin to which glucose is bound. It displays the common blood glucose ranges over the earlier 6–eight weeks. Its dimension turned into used to report the results of synthetic sweeteners on blood glucose stage in mice. Its stage is decided through different ELISHA mouse kit (E4657). Blood picture (Haemoglobin (Hb), Haematocrit (HCT), Red blood cells (RBCs), White blood cells (WBCs), Platelet (PLT), imply corpuscular volume (MCV), imply cell haemoglobin (MCH), imply corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCMH) turned into evaluated in all experimental organizations through an automated counter. Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) have been assayed through mouse ELISA kit. The concentrations of serum interleukin (IL)-6, -eight and -10 have been measured through the usage of mouse ELISA kit (ab100712, MBS261967 and ab100697, respectively). Different immunoglobulin (Ig) ranges have been envisioned through Mouse IgG ELISA Kit (ab157719), Mouse IgA ELISA Kit (ab157717) and Mouse IgE ELISA Kit (ab157718).

### 2.5. Histopathological studied

Liver and kidney from all experimental corporations have been fixed without delay in 10% impartial buffered formalin observed through the dehydration in specific grades of alcohol and clearing in xylol. Organs have been embedded in paraffin wax, sectioned at 4–6 l thick and stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin to be tested microscopically (Farid *et al.*, 2020a)<sup>[5]</sup>.

### 2.6. Statistical analysis

The effects have been evaluated through One Way ANOVA check and in comparison, with Duncan's Multiple Range check (DMRT). Results have been expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD and values have been taken into consideration significant at  $P < 0.05$ .

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Body weight, meals and water (with and without sweeteners)

Intake of Sucrose, sucralose and stevia administrated mice corporations, male and female, confirmed a decrement in water intake without sweeteners while in comparison to their corresponding manage corporations. Male and female mice corporations V, VI, VII and VIII confirmed a boom in intake of water with sweeteners (Sucralose or Stevia) while in comparison to sucrose administrated corporations III and IV. Sucrose, sucralose or stevia management did now no longer boom the frame weight in each male and female corporations while in comparison to manage corporations I and II. An insignificant lower in meals intake turned into located in male and female mice corporations administrated with sucrose, sucralose or stevia at eight- and sixteen-weeks post management.

#### 3.2. HbA1c degree

The degrees of HbA1c on top of things woman mice agencies I and II have been better than the ones of manipulate male mice both at eight or sixteen weeks. The identical become discovered in sucrose, sucralose and stevia administrated woman mice agencies. Sucralose and stevia management, in woman mice agencies, considerably expanded HbA1c after brief and long-time management while in comparison to govern group I. In male group VI, management of sucralose for sixteen weeks, considerably expanded HbA1c to attain  $7.24 \pm 0.4$  that become better than the ones of manipulate agencies and different sweetener administrated agencies.

#### 3.3. Complete blood picture

Sucrose management did now no longer have an effect on haemoglobin degrees, in both male and woman mice, both on brief or long time (Fig. 1). While sucralose management triggered a robust drop in haemoglobin (Hb) degrees in male agencies V and VI while in comparison to govern agencies I and II. Stevia management for sixteen weeks triggered a giant discount in haemoglobin degree of woman group VIII ( $12.22 \pm 1.2$ ) extra than that of male group VIII ( $13.58 \pm 2.2$ ). In male and woman mice agencies, Haematocrit (HCT) become considerably decreased after sucralose and stevia management, both for eight or sixteen weeks, in assessment to manipulate agencies and sucrose administrated agencies III and IV. Sucrose management, in male agencies III and VI, confirmed no giant alternate in purple blood cells (RBCs) rely; the identical become discovered amongst sucrose administrated woman agencies III and VI while in comparison to woman manipulate agencies I and II. In male agencies, sucralose or stevia management considerably decreased RBCs rely in assessment to male manipulate agencies. On the opposite hand, sucralose or stevia management in woman agencies triggered a gradual lower in RBCs rely from eight to sixteen weeks of management. White blood cells (WBCs) rely in all woman mice agencies become well than their corresponding male mice agencies. Sucrose management in male agencies III and VI did now no longer show any giant alternate in WBCs rely while in comparison to male manipulate agencies; whilst its management in their corresponding woman groups prompted a vast discount in WBCs be counted number. Platelets (PLT) be counted number, in lengthy time period administrated male groups with sucralose or stevia, confirmed insignificant lower count while as compared to manipulate groups. Short time period management of sucralose, in woman group V, confirmed a

vast decrement in platelets counted number ( $169.20 \pm 8.3$ ) that persisted to attain  $155.80 \pm 12$  after sixteen weeks of management. Stevia management in woman group VII for eight weeks did now no longer have an effect on platelets be counted number; however, after sixteen weeks of management a vast drop changed into determined in contrast to woman manage group I. No vast adjustments had been determined in imply corpuscular volume (MCV), imply cell haemoglobin (MCH) or imply corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC) amongst experimental groups for male and woman mice.

#### 3.4. Liver characteristic enzymes

Sucrose management improved alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) tiers in male mice businesses III and IV in a great way; at the same time as no great change become discovered upon their management in woman mice businesses. Short and long-time management of sucralose, in each male and woman mice businesses, appreciably extended ALT and AST tiers while in comparison to their corresponding manage businesses or sucrose administrated businesses III and IV. Stevia management for eight weeks appreciably improved ALT degree, in each male ( $48.44 \pm 9.5$ ) and woman ( $48.44 \pm 9.1$ ) mice group VII; this increase endured to attain the very best degree amongst all experimental businesses ( $57.14 \pm 3.6$  and  $56.14 \pm 2.6$  for male and woman mice group VIII, respectively). AST degree, in stevia administrated woman group VII ( $42.21 \pm 1.6$ ) and VIII ( $49.08 \pm 0.7$ ), become appreciably better than that of manage businesses I and II ( $25.62 \pm 2.5$  and  $26.55 \pm 19.4$ ) and sucrose administrated businesses III and IV ( $29.30 \pm 3.2$  and  $31.31 \pm 0.4$ , respectively); however appreciably decrease than that of sucralose administrated businesses ( $52.01 \pm 5.2$  and  $51.34 \pm 0.8$  for group V and VI, respectively).

#### 3.5. Kidney characteristic parameters

Sucrose management in male and woman mice businesses III and VI did now no longer have an effect on urea and creatinine tiers both on brief or long time period. Sucralose management, in male and woman businesses V and VI, appreciably extended urea and creatinine tiers while in comparison to their corresponding manage businesses. The impact of stevia on urea degree, after eight weeks of management, become more apparent in male group than in woman ones ( $55.52 \pm 9.8$  and  $42.61 \pm 1.6$ , respectively); the identical become discovered after 18 weeks of management. Creatinine degree, in woman businesses VII and VIII, become better than their corresponding male businesses.

#### 3.6. Lipid profile

Sucrose management for eight and sixteen weeks appreciably extended LDL cholesterol (Chol) degree in each male ( $150.84 \pm 13.1$  and  $149.14 \pm 1.3$  for businesses III and IV, respectively) and woman businesses ( $120.22 \pm 5.2$  and  $124.04 \pm 4.9$  for businesses III and IV, respectively) while in comparison to their corresponding controls. Long time period management of sucralose and stevia appreciably improved LDL cholesterol degree in woman businesses VI and VIII ( $170.05 \pm 8.4$  and  $157.92 \pm 9.3$ , respectively) while in comparison to brief time period administrated businesses V and VII ( $95.62 \pm 23.7$  and  $95.21 \pm 1.6$ , respectively). In male mice businesses, management of sucralose or stevia for eight weeks appreciably extended LDL cholesterol degree; and this elevation endured to attain  $168.74 \pm 10.7$  and  $166.90 \pm 16$  after sixteen weeks. No great modifications had been

discovered in triglycerides (TG) degree amongst all male or woman businesses. Long time period management of sucralose or stevia appreciably reduced serum high-density lipoproteins (HDL) degree and improved serum low-density lipoproteins (LDL) degree in male and woman mice businesses VI and VIII.

### 3.7. Immunological parameters

A vast elevation in stages of circulating LPS changed into discovered in each male and female mice corporations, administrated with sucralose or stevia, for eight weeks. This elevation persevered to attain the maximum stages after sixteen weeks of management. No vast extrude changed into discovered in sucrose administrated corporations III and IV. Sucrose management did now no longer have an effect on serum IgG or IgE stages both in male or female mice corporations III and IV while in comparison to their corresponding manipulate corporations I and II. IgA degree, after quick or long time of sucrose management, changed into extensively extended in each male and female mice corporation III and IV. Short time period management of sucralose extensively extended IgG degree in each male and female group V. Pro-inflammatory cytokines, IL-6 and IL-8, stages had been extensively extended with sucralose and stevia management both on quick or long time. However, IL-6 and -8 stages had been better in female corporations than in male ones. The impact of sucrose management on pro-inflammatory cytokines changed into, only, discovered in

male group III and IV; no vast difference changed into discovered amongst female corporations I, II, III and IV.

### 3.8. Histopathological result

Our outcomes confirmed no vast variations in histopathological sections of each sex. Where, the extreme harm upon stevia or sucralose management changed into the identical in male corporations and their corresponding female ones. Therefore, we selected to offer one figure for each male and female mice corporation. Kidney segment of manipulate corporations, both I or II, confirmed ordinary renal corpuscles with common sized glomeruli and renal tubules. Sucrose administrated corporations III and IV confirmed similar outcomes to manipulate corporations I and II, wherein no haemorrhage or lymphocyte infiltration changed into discovered. On the opposite hand, sucralose management significantly affected the kidney of group V. Where, small sized glomeruli (G) with huge Bowman's space (BS) and regions of haemorrhage are seen. Long and quick time period sucralose administrated group V and VI confirmed proximal tubules with markedly oedematous epithelial lining and lack of brush borders. Group VII, in quick time period stevia administrated group, confirmed renal corpuscles with common glomeruli with a few inflammatory infiltrates. These adjustments persevered until sixteen weeks of stevia management with the arrival of congested blood vessels in group VIII.

**Table 1:** The effects of sucrose, sucralose and stevia administration on serum levels of circulating LPS, different immunoglobulins and cytokines in male and female albino mice

Parameters	Control groups		Sucrose		Sucralose		Stevia	
	Group I	Group II	Group III	Group IV	Group V	Group VI	Group VII	Group VIII
<b>Male mice</b>								
LPS (EU/ml)	0.41 ± 3.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.39 ± 1.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.42 ± 9.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.43 ± 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 ± 2.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.83 ± 11.2 <sup>c</sup>	0.61 ± 8.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.82 ± 4.3 <sup>c</sup>
IgG (mg/dl)	807.32 ± 9.3 <sup>a</sup>	799.08 ± 6.1 <sup>a</sup>	800.24 ± 8.3 <sup>a</sup>	809.04 ± 4.8 <sup>a</sup>	929.01 ± 13.4 <sup>b</sup>	990.00 ± 15.8 <sup>b</sup>	820.48 ± 7.4 <sup>a</sup>	1630.21 ± 4.8 <sup>c</sup>
IgE (IU/ml)	139.40 ± 16.1 <sup>a</sup>	135.71 ± 0.1 <sup>a</sup>	124.08 ± 14.3 <sup>a</sup>	130.09 ± 3.3 <sup>a</sup>	121.76 ± 5.7 <sup>a</sup>	167.44 ± 11.1 <sup>b</sup>	131.56 ± 12.2 <sup>a</sup>	174.88 ± 16.8 <sup>b</sup>
IgA (mg/dl)	234.72 ± 1.3 <sup>a</sup>	240.91 ± 5.2 <sup>a</sup>	310.14 ± 5.6 <sup>b</sup>	315.98 ± 3.1 <sup>b</sup>	304.38 ± 14.3 <sup>b</sup>	318.70 ± 4.2 <sup>b</sup>	328.92 ± 3.2 <sup>c</sup>	396.50 ± 13.3 <sup>d</sup>
IL-10 (pg/ml)	189.62 ± 9.9 <sup>d</sup>	184.01 ± 11.4 <sup>d</sup>	145.91 ± 8.95 <sup>c</sup>	140.02 ± 2.4 <sup>c</sup>	144.51 ± 18.8 <sup>c</sup>	123.04 ± 28.9 <sup>b</sup>	148.71 ± 10.4 <sup>c</sup>	113.18 ± 8.9 <sup>a</sup>
IL-8 (pg/ml)	542.20 ± 8.9 <sup>a</sup>	552.14 ± 8.4 <sup>a</sup>	808.52 ± 8.7 <sup>c</sup>	812.71 ± 5.5 <sup>c</sup>	843.29 ± 2.6 <sup>d</sup>	851.28 ± 16.9 <sup>d</sup>	711.91 ± 28.3 <sup>b</sup>	831.83 ± 9.4 <sup>d</sup>
IL-6 (pg/ml)	34.65 ± 0.1 <sup>a</sup>	32.88 ± 10.5 <sup>a</sup>	46.15 ± 0.2 <sup>b</sup>	49.51 ± 0.2 <sup>b</sup>	99.47 ± 1.6 <sup>c</sup>	165.47 ± 0.2 <sup>c</sup>	89.74 ± 0.1 <sup>c</sup>	143.61 ± 0.4 <sup>d</sup>
LPS (EU/ml)	0.53 ± 10.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.50 ± 2.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.51 ± 6.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.49 ± 7.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.76 ± 4.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.92 ± 2.9 <sup>d</sup>	0.79 ± 7.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.82 ± 11.9 <sup>c</sup>
<b>Female mice</b>								
IgG (mg/dl)	782.51 ± 19.1 <sup>a</sup>	770.04 ± 10.8 <sup>a</sup>	798.40 ± 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	786.74 ± 3.1 <sup>a</sup>	822.66 ± 17.7 <sup>b</sup>	912.21 ± 14.4 <sup>c</sup>	859.31 ± 1.6 <sup>b</sup>	869.21 ± 2.2 <sup>b</sup>
IgE (IU/ml)	97.38 ± 48.1 <sup>a</sup>	98.74 ± 8.4 <sup>a</sup>	101.55 ± 4.4 <sup>a</sup>	104.47 ± 6.4 <sup>a</sup>	123.63 ± 12.3 <sup>b</sup>	178.10 ± 8.9 <sup>c</sup>	128.60 ± 1.6 <sup>b</sup>	165.52 ± 1.9 <sup>c</sup>
IgA (mg/dl)	210.06 ± 11.2 <sup>a</sup>	208.41 ± 1.4 <sup>a</sup>	263.19 ± 5.1 <sup>c</sup>	268.22 ± 7.1 <sup>c</sup>	282.12 ± 31.8 <sup>c</sup>	331.52 ± 3.9 <sup>d</sup>	241.1 ± 1.6 <sup>b</sup>	316.6 ± 6.2 <sup>d</sup>
IL-10 (pg/ml)	180.81 ± 2.1 <sup>d</sup>	182.23 ± 1.4 <sup>d</sup>	162.92 ± 7.6 <sup>c</sup>	160.63 ± 3.6 <sup>c</sup>	134.24 ± 14.6 <sup>b</sup>	127.42 ± 14.6 <sup>a</sup>	137.74 ± 2.1 <sup>b</sup>	121.43 ± 9.7 <sup>a</sup>
IL-8 (pg/ml)	659.84 ± 2.1 <sup>a</sup>	654.41 ± 8.1 <sup>a</sup>	672.54 ± 4.1 <sup>a</sup>	687.91 ± 5.9 <sup>a</sup>	850.31 ± 13.9 <sup>c</sup>	836.92 ± 1.1 <sup>c</sup>	766.91 ± 5.9 <sup>b</sup>	784.69 ± 1.6 <sup>b</sup>
IL-6 (pg/ml)	55.15 ± 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	54.66 ± 5.5 <sup>a</sup>	59.41 ± 1.7 <sup>a</sup>	62.85 ± 2.5 <sup>a</sup>	83.07 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	145.47 ± 0.2 <sup>d</sup>	73.78 ± 1.4 <sup>b</sup>	136.42 ± 0.4 <sup>c</sup>

(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1319016420301997>)

## 4. Discussion

Our consequences confirmed that stevia and sucralose management negatively affected each male and lady mice. The concept of using each sexes become to show our speculation approximately the damaging outcomes of non-caloric sweeteners both-synthetic-or natural. If we used one only, we might leave out the outcomes withinside the different one. The distinction withinside the ranges of measured parameters, among male and lady mice, may be attributed to the distinction withinside the metabolism of each sex further to the lady estrus cycle. This look at suggested that, male and lady mice groups confirmed a preference for water absorbed with sucralose and stevia; a phenomenon that may be defined with the aid of using: 1- sucralose and stevia

are many times sweeter than sucrose, 2- male and lady mice have become addicted to the utmost candy flavour of sucralose and stevia. Chandrashekar *et al.* (2006) [3] suggested that the candy flavour belief relies upon on G-protein-coupled subunit receptors at the tongue. The candy check stimulation of those receptors provokes a sensation that maximum mammals, specifically rodents, discover intensely rewarding (Sclafani, 2004) [16]. Lenoir *et al.* (2007) [9] allowed rats to pick out among saccharin sweetened water and cocaine (a particularly addictive substance); they discovered that 94% of rats desired the candy flavour of saccharin. Shil *et al.* (2020) [18] delivered that non-caloric sweetener like sucralose negatively affected the intestinal epithelium thru those candy flavour receptors. Wang *et al.* (2016) [21] confirmed that

sucralose management extended meals intake with the aid of using direct stimulation of candy flavour receptors and with the aid of using oblique stimulation of flavour-independent neuronal mechanisms. Several big scale potential cohorts research discovered a fine correlation among weight advantage and chronic synthetic sweetener use. However, our look at confirmed that Sucralose and stevia are taken into consideration non-caloric sweetener, they did now no longer alternate the frame weight of both male or lady mice. The gift looks at confirmed that sucrose management did now no longer have an effect on HbA1c stage, however extended management of sucralose or stevia extensively increased its stage specifically in lady mice. These consequences are contradicted with different research on diabetic rats; as Chang *et al.* (2005)<sup>[4]</sup> suggested that stevia growth insulin sensitivity and Jeppesen *et al.* (2002)<sup>[7]</sup> confirmed the anti-hyperglycaemic outcomes of stevia. These contradictory consequences may be defined with the aid of using the specific physiologic situations among diabetic fashions and wholesome one. Our look at become finished in wholesome male and lady mice and now no longer diabetic ones. Our consequences have been showed with the aid of using the previous look at of Suez *et al.* (2004)<sup>[19]</sup>, who suggested that synthetic sweeteners (saccharin, sucralose or aspartame) eating mice groups evolved a marked huge glucose intolerance ( $P < 0.001$ ). Also, Becker *et al.* (2020) confirmed that stevia changed the intestine microbiota as did saccharin while fed on with a excessive fat diet. According to our consequences, a discount in haemoglobin stage and HCT % in male and lady mice groups with a drop in RBCs, WBCs and platelets` rely have been discovered upon brief and long-time management of sucralose or stevia. However, those unfavourable outcomes have been now no longer visible in sucrose administrated groups. Sucralose and stevia, specifically after sixteen weeks of management in male and lady mice, extensively increased ranges of liver feature enzymes, urea, creatinine, cholesterol, LDL and free fatty acids. Loss of hepatic structure with intra-lobular inflammatory infiltrate turned into discovered in liver sections of stevia administrated groups VII and VIII. The damaging results of stevia management, in our examine, had been arguable to different research that confirmed the hepatoprotective and anti-oxidant impact of Stevia rebaudiana leaf extract in experimentally brought on liver harm in rats (Ramos-Tovar *et al.*, 2018)<sup>[14]</sup>. This may be defined via different research that tested the pharmacokinetics of Stevioside and had been in settlement with our effects. Stevioside is a hydrophilic glycoside with a excessive molecular weight that isn't absorbed withinside the gut; in which the gastric juice and digestive enzymes fail to deteriorate it (Koyama *et al.*, 2003). However, the intestinal vegetation of rats (Wingard *et al.*, 1980), mice, pigs and humans convert Stevioside into steviol. Steviol, a poisonous Stevioside metabolite, is reabsorbed from the gut to the blood movement and collected withinside the kidney to be excreted in urine. The body starts to step up urination to facilitate its elimination; a procedure referred to as diuresis. In the existing examine, immunological dimension confirmed an elevation in IgA degree and a discount in IL-10 degree upon sucrose management in each male and lady mice. On the alternative hand, the damaging results of sucralose and stevia had been apparent in degrees of immunoglobulins and cytokines. Where, their intake has caused a full of life boom in degrees of various immunoglobulin (IgG, IgE and IgA) and pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6 and -8). Under ordinary

conditions, colonocytes shape a barrier thru which fluid and electrolyte are transported and function an innate immune sensor of intestinal vegetation (Sartor, 2008)<sup>[23]</sup>. This is executed via way of means of the interplay among toll-like receptors (TLRs) of colonocytes and bacterial acquired antigens as lipopolysaccharides (LPS). This TLRs stimulation ends in the activation of NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway and next secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines as IL-8. Sehar *et al.* (2008)<sup>[17]</sup> discovered that Stevioside elevated the proliferation of T and B lymphocytes and introduced that Stevioside is an effective stimulator of humoral and cellular immune response.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, non-caloric sweeteners both artificial (sucralose) or natural (stevia) conceal numerous dangers to their consumers. They are accountable for: 1- growing glycaemia notwithstanding their loss of calories, 2- growing liver enzymes because of the intestinal flowers reshaping, 3- elevation of urea and creatinine levels, 4- reduction of the anti-inflammatory cytokines and upgradation of the proinflammatory cytokines secretion. On the opposite hand, sucrose is a caloric sweetener with a few dangers of course; however, it's far more secure than sucralose or stevia. So, we advocate now not to utilise sucralose or stevia and reducing the used day by day dose of sucrose instead.

#### References

1. Amchra FZ, Al Faiz C, Chaouqi S, Khiraoui A, Benhmimou A, Guedira T. Effect of Stevia rebaudiana, sucrose and aspartame on human health: A comprehensive review. *J. Med. Plants Stud.* 2018;6(1):102–108.
2. Black RM, Leiter LA, Anderson GH. Consuming aspartame with and without taste: differential effects on appetite and food intake of young adult males. *Physiol. Behav.* 1993;53(3):459-466.
3. Chandrashekar J, Hoon MA, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS. The receptors and cells for mammalian taste. *Nature.* 2006;444(7117):288–294.
4. Chang JC, Wu MC, Liu IM, Cheng JT. Increase of insulin sensitivity by stevioside in fructose-rich chow-fed rats. *Horm. Metab. Res.* 2005;37(10):610-616.
5. Farid A, Amadou M, Gehan S. Treatment potential of Aloe Vera gel in *Gairdia Intestinalis* infected albino rats. *Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology.* 2020a;50(1):160-166. <https://doi.org/10.12816/jesp.2020.88827>.
6. Gardner C, Wylie-Rosett J, Gidding SS, Steffen LM, Johnson RK, Reader D. Nonnutritive sweeteners: Current use and health perspectives: A scientific statement from the American heart association and the American diabetes association. *Circulation.* 2012;126(4):509-519.
7. Jeppesen PB, Gregersen S, Alstrup KK, Hermansen K. Stevioside induces antihyperglycaemic, insulinotropic and glucagonostatic effects *in vivo*: Studies in the diabetic GotoKakizaki (GK) rats. *Phytomedicine.* 2002;9(1):9-14.
8. Johnson RJ, Segal MS, Sautin Y, Nakagawa T, Feig DI, Kang DH. Potential role of sugar (fructose) in the epidemic of hypertension, obesity and the metabolic syndrome, diabetes, kidney disease, and cardiovascular disease. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2007;86:899-906.
9. Lenoir M, Serre F, Cantin L, Ahmed SH. Intense sweetness surpasses cocaine reward. *PLoS ONE.* 2007;2(8):e698.
10. Mathlouthi M, Reiser P. Sucrose: Properties and

- applications. Springer Science and Business Media. 1995.
11. Mattes RD, Popkin BM. Nonnutritive sweetener consumption in humans: effects on appetite and food intake and their putative mechanisms. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2009;89:1-14.
  12. Mitka M. AHA: Added sugar not so sweet. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 2009;302:1741-1742.
  13. Parasuraman S, Raveendran R, Kesavan R. Blood sample collection in small laboratory animals. *J. Pharmacol. Pharmacother.* 2010;1(2):87-93.
  14. Ramos-Tovar E, Hernández-Aquino E, Casas-Grajales S, Buendía-Montaño LD, Galindo-Gómez S, Camacho J. Stevia prevents acute and chronic liver injury induced by carbon tetrachloride by blocking oxidative stress through nrf2 upregulation. *Oxid. Med. Cell Longev.* 2018, 3823426.
  15. Rajiv Nehra, Divijendar Nath, Manju. Type II diabetes mellitus induced oxidative stress and proinflammatory cytokines in renal cells, leading to Acute Kidney Injury (AKI). *Int. J. Adv. Biochem Res.* 2021;5(2):29-32. DOI: 10.33545/26174693.2021.v5.i2a.73
  16. Sclafani A. Oral and postoral determinants of food reward. *Physiol. Behav.* 2004;81(5):773-779.
  17. Sehar I, Kaul A, Bani S, Pal HC, Saxena AK. Immune up regulatory response of a non-caloric natural sweetener, stevioside. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 2008;173(2):115-121.
  18. Shil A, Olusanya O, Ghufoor Z, Forson B, Marks J, Chichger H. Artificial sweeteners disrupt tight junctions and barrier function in the intestinal epithelium through activation of the sweet taste receptor, T1R3. *Nutrients.* 2020;12(6):1862.
  19. Suez J, Korem T, Zeevi D, Zilberman-Schapira G, Thaiss CA, Maza O, *Et al.*, Artificial sweeteners induce glucose intolerance by altering the gut microbiota. *Nature.* 2004;514:181-186.
  20. Tandel KR. Sugar substitutes: Health controversy over perceived benefits. *J. Pharmacol. Pharmacother.* 2011;2(4):236-243.
  21. Wang QP, Lin YQ, Zhang L, Wilson YA, Oyston LJ, Cotterell J, *et al.*, Sucralose promotes food intake through NPY and a neuronal fasting response. *Cell Metab.* 2016;24(1):75-90.
  22. Yang Q. Gain weight by “going diet?” Artificial sweeteners and the neurobiology of sugar cravings. *Yale J Biol. Med.* 2010;83(2):101-108.
  23. Sartor RB. Microbial influences in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Gastroenterology.* 2008;134(2):577-594.