



ISSN (E): 2320-3862  
ISSN (P): 2394-0530  
<https://www.plantsjournal.com>  
JMPS 2023; 11(5): 120-124  
© 2023 JMPS  
Received: 27-07-2023  
Accepted: 26-08-2023

**Rampal Saket**

Research Scholar, Department of Botany, S.G.S. Government P.G. College, Sidhi, Madhya Pradesh, India

**Awadh Raj Singh**

Professor, Department of Botany, S.G.S. Government P.G. College, Sidhi, Madhya Pradesh, India

## Quantitative vegetation analysis of Sarai forest of Singrauli district (M.P.) India

**Rampal Saket and Awadh Raj Singh**

### Abstract

Various parameters of quantitative vegetation analysis of tree species were studied. On the basis of IVI four forest communities were identified. Population density and basal area -1 2 -1 of tree species were 218 ha and 6.83 m ha respectively. The distribution pattern for most of the tree species was contagious. The Shannon-Weiner index and beta diversity for site were 3.66 and 1.65 respectively. The value of concentration of dominance was observed as 0.068 indicating that the dominance was shared by more than one and/or many species. Tree species showed log-normal dominance diversity curve indicative of shared resources pattern by a number of species.

**Keywords:** Quantitative vegetation analysis, forest communities, Sarai forest, Singrauli

### Introduction

Quantitative inventories of forest ecosystems provide necessary context for understanding, planning and interpreting long-term ecological research (Baithalu *et al.*, 2013) [4]. The information resulting from forest inventories serves as an invaluable research base for diverse aspects of tropical ecology while providing information crucial for their conservation and management (Ayyappan and Parthasarthy, 1999) [3]. Tropical dry forests form a major biome in India by covering 46% of the total forest cover of India. Most of these forests are under great anthropogenic pressure and require management intervention to maintain the overall biodiversity, productivity and sustainability. Among different types of forest in India, tropical dry deciduous forests occupy largest area in Central India. The forests of Singrauli district can be classified under the tropical dry deciduous type after Champion and Seth (1968) [8]. Deciduous forests are not considered species rich, but have a diversity of life forms. Still these forests assume unusual significance for conservation since they are the most used and threatened ecosystem, especially in India.

### Materials and Methods

**Description of Study Site:** Saria is a forest in Deosar Tehsil in Singrauli District of Madhya Pradesh State, India. It belongs to Rewa Division. It lies at 24°2'23" N latitude and 82°12'14" E longitude. It is located 46 km. towards west from District headquarters Singrauli.

### Methodology

Phytosociological studies of woody species on sub sites and entire site of Sarai forest were carried out by five (For sub site) and ten (for entire site) randomly placed quadrats of 10X10m. The data were quantitatively analysed for frequency, density and basal area (Curtis and McIntosh, 1950). Relative values of frequency, density and dominance were determined following Misra (1968). The sum of all above relative values represented as Importance Value Index (IVI). On the basis of IVI, dominant, co-dominant and main associate species were recognized (Mullar Dombois and Ellenberg, 1974) [26]. The ratio of abundance to frequency (A/F) was used to determining distribution pattern of species Whitford (1949) [42]. It is 0.050. Shannon and Wiener diversity index (H) was calculated after (Shannon and Wiener, 1963) [35]. Concentration of dominance (Cd) was determined following Simpson's index (Simpson, 1949) [33]. Beta diversity (BD) was calculated following Whittaker (1975) [44]. Dominance-diversity curve was prepared taking the IVI of species determination in relation to species number for resource partitioning among different species following Whittaker (1965) [43] and Ralhan *et al.*, (1982) [31].

**Corresponding Author:**

**Rampal Saket**

Research Scholar, Department of Botany, S.G.S. Government P.G. College, Sidhi, Madhya Pradesh, India

Similarity index (community co-efficient) among different sub sites was calculated following Sorenson (1948) [34].

## Results and Discussion

On the basis of highest values of IVI of tree species following four forest communities, one for each sub site, have been recognized Table 1.

I. *Aegle marmelos* - *Tectona grandis* type

II. *Shorea robusta*- *Lagerstroemia parviflora* type

III. *Shorea robusta* – *Diospyros melanoxylon* type

IV. *Diospyros melanoxylon* – *Lagerstroemia parviflora* type

As far as the dominance of tree species is concerned, every sub site showed dominance of more than one species, however as per values of IVI two sub sites were dominated by *Tectona grandis* (subsite II and III) while the other showed dominance of *Aegle marmelos* and *Tectona grandis* (subsite I) and *Diospyros melanoxylon* and *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (Subsite IV). Vegetation of entire site recognized as *Tectona grandis*-*Diospyros melanoxylon* type. Apart from above tree species, other associated species of entire site were *Anogeissus latifolia*, *Cassia fistula*, *Butea monosperma*, *Madhuca indica* and *Terminalia bellirica* etc. Total numbers of 36 tree species were found at this site; however the sub sites consisted of 15 to 27 species. Highest IVI of teak indicates its dominance and ecological success on account of its good power of regeneration and greater ecological amplitude. Teak dominating vegetation has also been reported

by Saxena *et al.*, (1992) [32], Dixit (1997) [11] and Thakur and Khare (2006) [39] in other parts of Central India. Depth and moisture in the soil, and anthropogenic pressure are supposed to be the main factors which influence the composition of forests. The shallow and drier soils on higher elevations has supported miscellaneous forest in which the proportion of teak is less, while deep and moist soil in the valleys supported teak dominated forest Dixit (1997) [11]. Presence of *Diospyros melanoxylon* may be due to its rapid growth through the root suckers.


It is evident from ratio of abundance to frequency (A/F) that the distributions of tree species were characterized by a preponderance of contagious distribution (77.77%). However some species showed random distribution (19.44%) and rarity of regular distribution (2.77%). Fracker and Brischle (1944) [12], Cole (1946) [9] and Ashby (1948) [2] stated that the contagious distribution of species depends upon local habitat differences, vegetative reproduction, local seed setting and daily and seasonal changes. In general preponderance of contagions distribution in natural vegetation has been reported by several workers (Singhal *et al.*, 1986; Singhal and Sharma, 1989; Joshi and Tewari, 1990; Bhandari *et al.*, 1995; Verma and Totey, 1996; Mishra *et al.*, 1997 Kunhikanan *et al.*, 1998; Khatri, 2000; Thakur, 2003; Panchal and Pandey, 2004; Bhatt *et al.*, 2004; Kumar *et al.*, 2006, Thakur and Khare, 2009, Marko and Sandya, 2020b and Kol & Kumhar, 2022b) [37, 36, 15, 6, 41, 24, 21, 16, 38, 27, 7, 20, 40, 23, 19].

**Table 1:** Importance Value Indices (IVI) of tree species at different subsites and for total Sarai forest.

S. No.	Name of the plant species	Subsite				Sarai forest
		I	II	III	IV	
1	<i>Shorea robusta</i> Garten f.	32.67	58.92	39.8	42.6	41.91
2.	<i>Diospyros melanoxylon</i> Roxb.	26.95	25.31	27.74	68.50	36.58
3.	<i>Lagerstroemia parviflora</i> Roxb.	29.59	38.95	25.11	52.43	35.97
4	<i>Aegle marmelos</i> Correa	33.75	18.50	26.86	7.15	22.40
5.	<i>Anogeissus latifolia</i> (Roxb. ex. DC) Wall	12.15	17.12	21.38		13.13
6.	<i>Cassia fistula</i> Linn.	12.64	12.74	13.88	11.19	12.57
7.	<i>Butea monosperma</i> (Lamk.) Taub.		38.70	7.04		11.88
8.	<i>Madhuca indica</i> Gmel.	7.40			43.35	10.77
9.	<i>Terminalia bellirica</i> (Gaearth.) Roxb.	6.72	8.04	23.14		10.19
10.	<i>Anogeissus pendula</i> Edgew		18.65	15.48		8.3
11.	<i>Gardenia latifolia</i> Aiton.	2.50	5.39	15.79	9.03	7.71
12.	<i>Schleichera oleosa</i> (Lour) Oken.		7.26	3.88	24.33	7.62
13.	<i>Terminalia tomentosa</i> (DC.) W & A.	2.37		12.0	6.11	6.99
14.	<i>Flacourtia indica</i> (Burm f.) Merr.	5.19	15.19	4.14	4.27	6.90
15.	<i>Lannea coromandelica</i> (Houtt.) Merr.	2.65	10.26	10.45	4.28	6.68
16.	<i>Buchanania lanzan</i> Spreng.	16.49			6.41	6.27
17.	<i>Milium tomentosum</i> (Roxb.) J. Sinclan	14.81			5.87	5.87
18.	<i>Mitragyna parvifolia</i> (Roxb.) Korth.	5.91	16.36			5.83
19.	<i>Bridelia retusa</i> (L.) Spreng.	13.13		4.50		5.05
20.	<i>Adina cordifolia</i> Hook. f.	4.79	4.49		9.34	4.48
21.	<i>Elaeodendron glaucum</i> Pers.	8.45	3.90	3.69		4.40
22.	<i>Terminalia arjuna</i> W. & A.		14.47			3.79
23.	<i>Boswellia serrata</i> Roxb. ex. Colebr.	12.63				3.48
24.	<i>Albizia odoratissima</i> (L.f.) Benth.			13.37		3.22
25.	<i>Wendlandia puberula</i> D.C.	9.20				2.56
26.	<i>Albizia lebeck</i> Benth.	7.52				2.13
27.	<i>Cordia vestita</i> Hook. f. & Thoms	7.07				2.04
28.	<i>Kydia calycina</i> Roxb.	5.29				1.75
29.	<i>Xylia xylocarpa</i> (Roxb.) Taub.		6.72			1.67
30.	<i>Acacia leucophloea</i> Willd.		5.77			1.30
31.	<i>Erythrina variegata</i> L.	4.27				1.29
32.	<i>Acacia catechu</i> (L.f.) Willd.		4.53			1.07
33.	<i>Casearia graveolens</i> Dal.			4.92		0.94
34.	<i>Ehretia laevis</i> Roxb.	2.81				0.91
35.	<i>Ougeinia dalbergioides</i> (Roxb.) Hochr.	2.81				0.91
36.	<i>Ziziphus xylopyrus</i> Willd.	2.79				0.90

**Table 2:** Similarity matrix of tree species of Sarai Similarity Index (%)

Sites	Site I	Site II	Site III	Site IV	Sarai forest
Site I	1.00				
Site II	60.45	1.00			
Site III	54.16	75.67	1.00		
Site IV	61.90	54.51	55.55	1.00	
Sarai forest	85.71	61.53	73.68	58.82	1.00

**Fig 1:** Graph analysis of top ten IVI of tree species in Sarai forest

In the present study population density of tree species was  $218 \text{ ha}^{-1}$  and basal area  $6.83 \text{ m}^2\text{ha}^{-1}$ . High value of basal area indicates the site have more mature forest whereas low values showed that site has younger forest in which number of mature trees were less probably due to greater biotic disturbances in the area. Khatri (2000) reported total basal area at Satpura 2 -1 National Park, M. P. between  $17.37$  to  $26.28 \text{ m}^2\text{ha}^{-1}$ . Ilorkar and Khatri (2003) [13] at Navegaon National Park observed total basal area in between  $14.15$  to  $17.212 \text{ m}^2\text{ha}^{-1}$ . Jha and Singh (1990) [14] observed  $7.23 \text{ m}^2\text{ha}^{-1}$  in Vindhyan region that represents tropical dry forest.

Similarity index among different studied sites are given in Table 2. The maximum similar sites were sub site I and entire Sarai site (85.71%) while minimum similar sites were sub site I and sub site III.

Species diversity or Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H) of tree species was 3.66. Kushwaha and Kumar (2002) [22] observed maximum diversity value (2.505-2.511) in Madhav National Park followed by Satpura National Park (2.198-2.254) and minimum value (1.717-1.763) was found for Pachmarhi Wildlife Sanctuary in Central India. Pandey and Shukla (1999) [29] and Panchal and Pandey (2004) [27] observed lowest and highest value of H as 2.034 and 3.53 respectively in tropical forests in Gujrat and sal forest in North India. It is evident from the results that in general species diversity of tropical dry deciduous forest it much

lower however, at place be higher due to more physical heterogeneity as in present study.

The value of concentration of dominance (Cd) was observed as 0.068 the low value of Cd indicating that dominance was shared by more than one or many species. Knight (1975) [18] reported an average value of Cd as 0.06 for a tropical forest.

Beta diversity for the tree species was reported as 1.65 at this site. Several workers have assessed the beta diversity for the rate of species turnover along the different environmental gradient (Rikhari *et al.*, 1989; Adhikari *et al.*, 1991; Bankoti *et al.*, 1992; Pandey and Shukla, 1999 and Pandey, 2001) [30, 1, 5, 29, 28].

Tree species showed top ten IVI curve (Fig. 1) indicative of shared resources pattern by a number of species. Similarly Pandey (2001) [28] and Khurana and Saxena (2009) [17] also observed lognormal dominance diversity curves for tree species.

### Conclusion

Most of the species present in this forest show greater adaptability against anthropogenic factors and having good potential for natural regeneration. The vegetation can be easily conserved for its diversity and growth by adopting strategy of reduction of biotic pressure.

### Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to authority of forest department of Singhrauli district and research Centre of S.G.S. Govt. P.G. College, Sidhi (M.P.) India for giving permission and providing necessary facilities.

### References

- Adhikari BS, Rikhari HC, Rawat YS, Singh SP. High altitude forest: Composition, diversity and profile structure in a part of Kumaun Himalaya. *Tropical Ecology*. 1991;32(1):86-97.
- Ashby. *Statistical Ecology. II Area Assessment*. *Botant. Rev.* 1948;14:222-234.
- Ayyappan N, Parthasarathy N. Biodiversity inventory of trees in a large scale permanent plot of tropical evergreen forest at Varagalaiar Anamalai, Western Ghats, India. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 1999;8:1533-1554.
- Baithalu S, Anbarashan M, Parthasarathy N. Two-decadal changes in forest structure and tree diversity in a tropical dry evergreen forest on the Coromandel Coast of India. *Tropical Ecology*. 2013;54:397-403.
- Bankoti NS, Rawal RS, Samant SS, Pangtey YPS. Forest vegetation of inner hill ranges in Kumaun, Central Himalaya. *Tropical Ecology*. 1992;33(1):41-53.
- Bhandari BS, Mehta JP, Tiwari SC. Vegetation structure under different management regimes in a grazing land at Srinagar (Garhwal). *J. Hill. Res.* 1995;8(1):39-46.
- Bhatt VP, Kumar M, Rajwar GS, Dhaulakhandi M. Community structure and diversity of a moist mixed temperate forest of Notha-Chaurikhal of Garhwal Himalaya *Ann. For.* 2004;12(1):81-86.
- Champion HG, Seth SK. *A revised survey of forest types of India*. Govt. of India Publication, Delhi; c1968.
- Cole LC. The population consequences of life history phenomenon. *Quart. Rev. Biol.* 1946;29:103-137.
- Curtis J, McIntosh RP. The interaction of certain analytic and synthetic phytosociological characters. *Ecology*. 1950;31:434-455.
- Dixit AM. Ecological evaluation of dry tropical forest vegetation: An approach to environmental impact assessment. *Tropical Ecology*. 1997;38(1):87-99.
- Fracker SBR, Brichle A. Measuring the local distribution of Ribes. 1944;25:283-303.
- Ilorkar VM, Khatri PK. Phytosociological study of Navegaon National Park. *Indian Forester*. 2003;129(3):377-387.
- Jha CS, Singh JS. Composition and dynamics of dry tropical forest in relation to soil texture. *Journal of vegetation science*. 1990;1:609-614.
- Joshi NK, Tewari SC. Phytosociological analysis of woody vegetation along an altitudinal gradient in Garhwal. *Indian J Forestry*. 1990;13(4):322-328.
- Khatri PK. Study on biodiversity in tropical forest ecosystem of Satpura National Park, Madhya Pradesh, Ph.D. Thesis Forest Research Institute, Dehra Dun; c2000.
- Khurana P, Saxena RS. Vegetation analysis along the disturbance gradient in tropical dry deciduous forest of Hastinapur. *Indian Forester*. 2009;139:678-690.
- Knight DH. A phytosociological analysis of species rich tropical forest on Barro-Colorado Island, Panama. *Ecol. Monogr.* 1975;45:259-289.
- Kol, Brijesh, Kumhar IP. Ecological studies of vegetation of Piprahi forest of Rewa District (M.P.), India. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Studies*. 2022b;4(3):135-137.
- Kumar A, Marcot BG, Saxena A. Tree species diversity and distribution patterns in tropical forests of Garo Hills. *Current Science*. 2006;91(10):1370-138.
- Kunhikannan C, Khatri PK, Verma Ram K, Verma Raj K, Totey NG. Ground flora, Soil microflora and fauna diversity under plantation ecosystem on Bhata land of Bilaspur, Madhya Pradesh. *Envir and Ecol.* 1998;16(3):539-548.
- Kushwah RBS, Kumar V. Status of flora in protected areas the case studies of eight PAS of Madhya Pradesh (India). *Indian Forester*. 2002;128(3):271-288.
- Marko, Kumar B, Sandya GS. A comparative study of tree species composition of Birjoura forest beat and Tarach forest beat of Bajag forest range, Dindori district (M.P.). *International Journal of Advanced Academic Studies*. 2020b;2(3):633-636.
- Misra MP, Mishra PN, Pandey BN. An ecological account of the vegetation of Brahmyoni Hill (Gaya), *Proceedings of Indian Science Congress*. 1997;3:108-109.
- Misra R. *Ecology Work Book*. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi; c1968. p. 244.
- Mueller-Dombois D, Ellenberg H. *Aims and methods of vegetation ecology*. John Wiley and Sons, New York; c1974. p. 525.
- Panchal NS, Pandey AN. Analysis of vegetation of Rampara forest in Saurashtra region of Gujrat state of India. *Tropical Ecology*. 2004;45(2):223-231.
- Pande PK. Quantitative vegetation analysis as per aspect and altitude, and regeneration behaviour of tree species in Garhwal Himalayan forest. *Ann. For.* 2001;9(1):39-52.
- Pandey SK, Shukla RP. Plant diversity and community patterns along the disturbance gradient in plantation forest of sal (*Shorea robusta* Gaertn.). *Current Science*. 1999;77(6):814-818.
- Rikhari HC, Chandra R, Singh SP. Pattern of species distribution and community characters along a moisture gradient within an oak zone of Kumaon Himalaya. *Proceeding Indian National Science Academy*. 1989;55:271-326.
- Ralhan PK, Saxena AK, Singh JS. Analysis of forest vegetation at around Nainital in Kumaon Himalaya, *Proc. Indian Nat. Sci. Accd.* 1982;848:121-137.
- Saxena RK, Dhakarey RPS, Dwivedi RK, Jethi DK. Vegetational analysis of selected forest stands in Kaymore plateau. *Journal of Tropical Forestry*. 1992;8(4):323-328.
- Simpson EH. Measurement of diversity. *Nature*. 1949;163:688.
- Sorenson EH. A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species content. *Detkong Danska Vidensk. Selsk. Biol. Skr.* 1948;5(4):1-34.
- Shannon CE, Wiener W. *The mathematical theory of communication*. University of Juinois Press, Urbana; c1963. p. 117.
- Singhal RM, Sharma SD. Phytosociological Analysis of tropical forest in Doon Valley of Uttar Pradesh. *J. of Tropical forestry*. 1989;5(1):57-65.
- Singhal RM, Rawat VRS, Kumar P, Sharma SD, Singh SB. Vegetation analysis of some forests of Chakrata Himalayas India. *Indian Forester*. 1986;112(9):819-831.
- Thakur AS. *Vegetation Ecology of Sagar District*. Ph.D. Thesis, Dr. H.S. Gour Vishwavidyalaya, Sagar; c2003.

39. Thakur AS, Khare PK. Species diversity and dominance in tropical dry deciduous forest ecosystem. *Journal of Environmental Research and Development*. 2006;1:26-31.
40. Thakur AS, Khare PK. Composition of forest vegetation and floristics of Sagar district, Central India. *Journal of the Indian Botanical Society*. 2009;88(1&2):11-17.
41. Verma RK, Totey NG. Vegetation diversity in Kanhargaon preservation plot, Chandrapur, Maharashtra. *Journal of Tropical Forestry*. 1996;12(II):59-69.
42. Whitford PB. Distribution of woodland plants in relation to succession and clonal growth. *Ecology*. 1949;30:199-208.
43. Whittaker RH. Dominance and diversity in land plant communities. *Science*. 1965;147:250-260.
44. Whittaker RH. *Communities and Ecosystems*, 2 ed. McMillan Publishing Co. New York; c1975.